arXiv:1406.0191v2 [math-ph] 19 Jul 2014

Spectral Design for Matrix Hamiltonians: Different Methods of Constructing of a Matrix Intertwining Operator

A.V. Sokolov*

Deptartment of Theoretical Physics,
Saint-Petersburg State University,
Ulianovskaya ul., 1, Petrodvorets, 198504 Saint-Petersburg, Russia

Abstract

We study intertwining relations for $n \times n$ matrix non-Hermitian, in general, one-dimensional Hamiltonians by $n \times n$ matrix linear differential operators with nondegenerate coefficients at d/dx in the highest degree. Some methods of constructing of $n \times n$ matrix intertwining operator of the first order of general form are proposed and their interrelation is examined. As example we construct 2×2 matrix Hamiltonian of general form intertwined by operator of the first order with the Hamiltonian with zero matrix potential. It is shown that one can add for the final 2×2 matrix Hamiltonian with respect to the initial matrix Hamiltonian with the help of intertwining operator of the first order either up to two bound states for different energy values or up to two bound states described by vector-eigenfunctions for the same energy value or up to two bound states described by vector-eigenfunction and associated vector-function for the same energy value.

1 Introduction

There are two main areas of applying of matrix models with supersymmetry in Quantum Mechanics: multichannel scattering and spectral design in description of motion of spin particles in external fields. The simplest cases of such models are considered, for example, in [1–15] and their systematic studying is contained in [16–30] (see also the recent reviews [31, 32] and references therein). The authors of [16] investigate intertwining of matrix Hermitian Hamiltonians by $n \times n$ first-order and 2×2 second-order matrix differential operators and the corresponding supersymmetric algebras. The main result of [17] is the formulae that provide us with the opportunity to construct for a given $n \times n$ matrix non-Hermitian, in general, Hamiltonian a new $n \times n$ matrix Hamiltonian and an $n \times n$ matrix linear differential operator of arbitrary order with the identity matrix coefficient at d/dx in the highest degree that intertwines these Hamiltonians.

*E-mail: avs_avs@rambler.ru.

There are some shortcomings of the results of [17]. Firstly, the formulae of [17] are built in terms of a basis in a subspace that is invariant with respect to the initial Hamiltonian, *i.e.* an $n \times n$ matrix intertwining operator of the N-th order and the corresponding new Hamiltonian are constructed in terms of columns of $n \times nN$ matrix-valued solution $\mathbf{\Psi}(x)$ of the equation

$$H_+\mathbf{\Psi} = \mathbf{\Psi}\Lambda, \tag{1}$$

where H_+ and Λ are respectively the initial Hamiltonian and $nN \times nN$ constant matrix. It was shown in [23] that one can get any $n \times n$ matrix intertwining operator of arbitrary order with arbitrary nondegenerate matrix coefficient at d/dx in the highest degree and the corresponding new Hamiltonian with the help of such matrix-valued solution of (1) that Λ^t for this solution is a matrix of normal (Jordan) form. The columns of the solution $\mathbf{\Psi}(x)$ in this case are obviously a formal vector-eigenfunctions and formal associated vector-functions of the Hamiltonian H_+, where the word "formal" emphasizes that these vector-functions are not necessarily normalizable. It seems more easy to find formal vector-eigenfunctions and formal associated vector-functions of the Hamiltonian H_+ and to construct the matrix $\mathbf{\Psi}(x)$ from these vector-functions than to look for a matrix solution $\mathbf{\Psi}(x)$ of general form for (1) as it was proposed in [17]. Hence, the offered in [23] method of constructing of a matrix intertwining operator and the corresponding new matrix Hamiltonian in terms of formal vector-eigenfunctions and associated vector-functions of the initial Hamiltonian H_+ (see as well the partial case of this method based on the use of formal vector-eigenfunctions only in [18]) allows us to simplify without the loss of generality the procedure proposed in [17].

Secondly, the formulae of [17] are unnecessarily complicated since they contain *quasideterminants* introduced in [33]. The significantly more simple formulae in terms of usual determinants for constructing of a matrix intertwining operator with the identity matrix coefficient at d/dx in the highest degree and the corresponding new Hamiltonian were derived in a rather sophisticated way in [18]. But the formulae of [18] were received for the partial case only where all columns of $\mathbf{\Psi}(x)$ are a formal vector-eigenfunctions of H_+ and the intertwined Hamiltonians are Hermitian. It should be emphasized that applying of formal vector-eigenfunctions only of H_+ as columns in $\mathbf{\Psi}(x)$ results in significant narrowing of the set of received intertwining operators even in the case where H_+ is Hermitian. The formulae that provide us with the opportunity to build with the help of usual determinants any $n \times n$ matrix intertwining operator of arbitrary order with arbitrary constant nondegenerate matrix coefficient at d/dx in the highest degree for a given $n \times n$ matrix non-Hermitian, in general, initial Hamiltonian H_+ and the corresponding new matrix Hamiltonian were obtained in a simple way in [23]. In the partial case of [18] the indicated formulae of [23] correspond to the formulae of [18]. The detailed analysis of some more shortcomings of [17] and [18] can be found in [23].

The paper [19] contains the formulae that allow us to construct any $n \times n$ matrix differential intertwining operator of the first order with arbitrary nondegenerate matrix coefficient at d/dx in terms of $n \times n$ matrix-valued solution $\mathbf{\Psi}(x)$ of the equation (1) for the case where the Hamiltonian H_+ is Hermitian. As well the author of [19] considers the corresponding supersymmetry algebra for the case where the mentioned coefficient at d/dx is the identity matrix and both intertwined Hamiltonians are Hermitian, builds $n \times n$ matrix differential intertwining operators of higher orders from chains of first-order $n \times n$ matrix differential intertwining operators and investigates in details $n \times n$ matrix intertwining operators of the second order, obtained in this way.

The generalization of results of the paper [18] to the case of a degenerate matrix

coefficient of an intertwining operator at d/dx in the highest degree is considered in [20]. The author of [21] builds $n \times n$ matrix differential intertwining operators of the second order for Hermitian matrix Hamiltonians with all real-valued elements in their potentials in terms of two $n \times n$ matrix-valued solutions $\mathbf{\Psi}_1(x)$ and $\mathbf{\Psi}_2(x)$ of the equation (1) for the matrices Λ_1 and Λ_2 respectively in its right-hand side of the form

$$\Lambda_1 = E_1 I_n, \quad \Lambda_2 = E_2 I_n, \qquad E_1, E_2 \in \mathbb{C},$$

where I_n is the identity matrix of the n-th order. As well the corresponding polynomial supersymmetry algebra of the second order is constructed and different applications of the obtained results are examined in [21].

The author of [22] proposes to study a supersymmetry generated by two $n \times n$ matrix non-Hermitian, in general, Hamiltonians H_+ and H_- and two $n \times n$ matrix differential operators Q_N^+ and Q_N^- of the same order N with constant coefficients proportional to the identity matrix at $(d/dx)^N$ that intertwine H_+ and H_- in the opposite directions and such that the products $Q_N^+ Q_N^-$ and $Q_N^- Q_N^+$ are the same polynomials with matrix coefficients of H_+ and H_- respectively. Moreover, the operators Q_N^+ and Q_N^- are supposed to be related one to another by some unnatural operation which is not, in general, neither transposition nor Hermitian conjugation. Hence, intertwining of H_+ and H_- by one of the operators Q_N^+ and Q_N^- does not lead, in general, to the intertwining of H_+ and H_- by another of the operators Q_N^+ and Q_N^- even if both Hamiltonians H_+ and H_- are symmetric with respect to transposition or Hermitian. Thus, the intertwining operators Q_N^+ and Q_N^- generate independent, in general, restrictions on the system in question. In addition, there are no in [22] neither proof of existence of the considered system for arbitrary n and N nor any general method of constructing of this system. Only for the case $n = N = 2$ the author finds general form of H_+, H_-, Q_N^+ and Q_N^- under additional assumption that H_+, H_- and all coefficients of the operators Q_N^+ and Q_N^- are Hermitian.

The paper [23] in addition to the formulae for constructing of arbitrary matrix intertwining operator and the corresponding new matrix Hamiltonian (see above) contains the results on existence for arbitrary $n \times n$ matrix intertwining operator of the order N with arbitrary nondegenerate matrix coefficient at $(d/dx)^N$ an $n \times n$ matrix differential operator of different, in general, order N' that intertwines the same Hamiltonians in the opposite direction and on the corresponding polynomial supersymmetry algebra. Earlier the case of two scalar differential operators of different, in general, orders that intertwine two scalar differential operators of partial form in the opposite directions was considered in [34]. As well there are in [23] the criteria of minimizability [35, 36] and of reducibility [37–42] of a matrix intertwining operator.

Some supersymmetric matrix models with shape invariance are investigated in [24–28]. Most of the mentioned above papers on the matrix case is devoted in fact to the case of one spatial variable. The cases of two and three spacial variables are considered in [1, 4, 5, 25, 29, 30].

The purpose of this paper is (i) to derive some methods for constructing of arbitrary $n \times n$ matrix first-order intertwining operator with arbitrary constant nondegenerate matrix coefficient at d/dx and the corresponding new matrix Hamiltonian in the case where both intertwined Hamiltonians are, in general, non-Hermitian, (ii) to investigate interrelations of these methods and (iii) to demonstrate the capabilities of these methods for spectral design of matrix Hamiltonians. The present paper is organized as follows. Section 2 contains basic definitions and notation. Section 3 is devoted to derivation of some methods for constructing of any $n \times n$ matrix first-order intertwining operator with arbitrary constant nondegenerate matrix coefficient at d/dx and of the corresponding new

matrix Hamiltonian. Namely, we present the method of matrix superpotential and one more method in Subsection 3.1, the method of transformation vector-functions in Subsection 3.2 and the method of transformation matrix in Subsection 3.3. As well we examine in Section 3 the interrelations of these methods. Section 4 includes brief description of generalization of the method of transformation vector-functions to the case of matrix intertwining operator of arbitrary order. In Section 5 we present three examples that demonstrate capabilities of the methods of Section 3 for spectral design of matrix Hamiltonians. It is shown that one can add for the final 2×2 matrix Hamiltonian with respect to initial 2×2 matrix Hamiltonian with the help of 2×2 first-order matrix intertwining operator either up to two bound states for different energy values (Subsection 5.1) or up to two bound states described by vector-eigenfunctions for the same energy value (Subsection 5.2) or up to two bound states described by vector-eigenfunction and associated vector-function for the same energy value (Subsection 5.3). In Conclusions we itemize some problems which can be considered in future papers.

2 Basic definitions and notation

2.1 Intertwining relation

Let's consider two defined on the entire axis matrix Hamiltonians of Schrödinger form

$$H_+ = -I_n\partial^2 + V_+(x), \quad H_- = -I_n\partial^2 + V_-(x), \qquad \partial \equiv \frac{d}{dx},$$

where I_n is the identity matrix of the n-th order, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $V_+(x)$ and $V_-(x)$ are square $n \times n$ matrices, all elements of which are sufficiently smooth and, in general, complex-valued functions. These Hamiltonians are supposed to be *intertwined* by a matrix linear differential operator Q_N^-, so that

$$Q_N^- H_+ = H_- Q_N^-, \qquad Q_N^- = \sum_{j=0}^{N} X_j^-(x)\partial^j, \tag{2}$$

where $X_j^-(x)$, $j = 0, \ldots, N$ are as well square $n \times n$ matrices, all elements of which are sufficiently smooth and, in general, complex-valued functions. The operator Q_N^- in this case is called *intertwining operator*.

It follows from (2) (see [23]) that

$$X_N^- = \mathrm{Const}$$

and

$$X_N^- V_+(x) = -2X_{N-1}^{-\prime}(x) + V_-(x)X_N^-. \tag{3}$$

We shall suppose below that $\det X_N^- \neq 0$. In this case one can find from (3) the matrix potential $V_-(x)$ in terms of $V_+(x)$ and $X_{N-1}^-(x)$,

$$V_-(x) = X_N^- V_+(x)(X_N^-)^{-1} + 2X_{N-1}^{-\prime}(x)(X_N^-)^{-1}. \tag{4}$$

2.2 Structure of intertwining operator kernel and transformation vector-functions

In view of (2) the kernel of the intertwining operator Q_N^- is an invariant subspace for the Hamiltonian H_+:

$$H_+ \ker Q_N^- \subset \ker Q_N^-.$$

Therefore, for any basis $\Phi_1^-(x)$, ..., $\Phi_d^-(x)$ in the kernel of Q_N^-, $d = \dim\ker Q_N^- = nN$ there exists a constant square $d \times d$ matrix $\mathbf{T}^+ \equiv \|T_{ij}^+\|$ such that

$$H_+\Phi_i^- = \sum_{j=1}^{d} T_{ij}^+\Phi_j^-, \qquad i = 1, \dots, d. \tag{5}$$

Let us note that the Wronskian of all elements of any basis in $\ker Q_N^-$ does not vanish on the entire axis.

One can construct from the elements of the basis $\Phi_1^-(x)$, ..., $\Phi_d^-(x)$ as from columns the $n \times d$ matrix-valued solution

$$\mathbf{\Psi}(x) = \big(\Phi_1^-(x), \dots, \Phi_d^-(x)\big)$$

of the equation (1) and the matrix Λ from (1) is interrelated with the matrix $\mathbf{T}^+$ by the evident equality

$$\Lambda = (\mathbf{T}^+)^t.$$

In the what follows, the *matrix* $\mathbf{T}$ of an intertwining operator is defined as a matrix which is constructed for the operator in the same way as the matrix $\mathbf{T}^+$ is constructed for Q_N^-. In this case, we do not specify the basis in the kernel of the intertwining operator in which the matrix $\mathbf{T}$ is chosen if we concern only spectral characteristics of the matrix, or, what is the same, spectral characteristics of the restriction of the corresponding Hamiltonian to the kernel of the considered intertwining operator (cf. with (5)).

A basis in the kernel of an intertwining operator in which the matrix $\mathbf{T}$ of this operator has a normal (Jordan) form is called a *canonical basis*. Elements of a canonical basis are called *transformation vector-functions*.

If a Jordan form of the matrix $\mathbf{T}$ of an intertwining operator contains block(s) of order higher than one, then there are in the corresponding canonical basis not only formal vector-eigenfunction(s) of the corresponding Hamiltonian but also its formal associated vector-function(s) which are defined as follows (see [43]).

A vector-function $\Phi_{m,i}(x)$ is called a *formal associated vector-function of i-th order* of an $n \times n$ matrix Hamiltonian $H = -I_n\partial^2 + V(x)$ for a spectral value λ_m if

$$(H - \lambda_m I_n)^{i+1}\Phi_{m,i} \equiv 0 \quad \text{and} \quad (H - \lambda_m I_n)^i\Phi_{m,i} \not\equiv 0,$$

where the term "formal" emphasizes that the vector-function $\Phi_{m,i}(x)$ is not necessarily normalizable (not necessarily belongs to $L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}^n)$). In particular, a formal associated vector-function of zero order $\Phi_{m,0}(x)$ is a formal vector-eigenfunction of H.

A finite or infinite set of vector-functions $\Phi_{m,i}(x)$, $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ is called a *chain* of formal associated vector-functions of an $n \times n$ matrix Hamiltonian $H = -I_n\partial^2 + V(x)$ for a spectral value λ_m if

$$H\Phi_{m,0} = \lambda_m\Phi_{m,0}, \quad \Phi_{m,0}(x) \not\equiv 0, \qquad (H - \lambda_m I_n)\Phi_{m,i} = \Phi_{m,i-1}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \dots .$$

It is evident that $\Phi_{m,i}(x)$ in this case is a formal associated vector-function of i-th order of the Hamiltonian H for the spectral value λ_m, $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$.

A chain $\Psi_{m,l}^-(x)$, $l = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ of formal associated vector-functions of the Hamiltonian H_+ for a spectral value λ_m in view of the equalities

$$(H_- - \lambda_m I_n)Q_N^-\Psi_{m,l}^- = Q_N^-(H_+ - \lambda_m I_n)\Psi_{m,l}^- = Q_N^-\Psi_{m,l-1}^-,$$

$$l = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, \qquad \Psi^-_{m,-1}(x) \equiv 0, \tag{6}$$

that take place due to (2), is mapped by Q^-_N into a chain of formal associated vector-functions of the Hamiltonian H_- for the same spectral value λ_m with possible exception of some number of vector-functions $Q^-_N\Psi^-_{m,l}$ with lower numbers which can be identical zeroes. It is clear in view of (6) that if $Q^-_N\Psi^-_{m,l_0} \equiv 0$ for some l_0 then $Q^-_N\Psi^-_{m,l} \equiv 0$ for any $l < l_0$ and if $Q^-_N\Psi^-_{m,l_0} \not\equiv 0$ for some l_0 then $Q^-_N\Psi^-_{m,l} \not\equiv 0$ for any $l > l_0$. Thus, if l_0 is a minimal number such that $Q^-_N\Psi^-_{m,l_0} \not\equiv 0$ then one can represent the arising chain of formal associated vector-functions of H_- in the form

$$\Psi^+_{m,l}(x) = Q^-_N\Psi^-_{m,l+l_0}(x), \qquad l = 0, 1, 2, \ldots .$$

3 Methods of constructing of a first-order matrix intertwining operator

3.1 Method of matrix superpotential and one more method

Let us consider the case where two $n \times n$ matrix Hamiltonians H_+ and H_- are intertwined by a first-order $n \times n$ matrix differential operator

$$Q^-_1 = X^-_1\partial + X^-_0(x),$$

so that

$$Q^-_1 H_+ = H_- Q^-_1. \tag{7}$$

In view of Section 2.1 the matrix coefficient X^-_1 is a constant nondegenerate matrix. Thus, we can rewrite the equality (7) with the help of multiplying it from the left by $(X^-_1)^{-1}$ in the form

$$\big((X^-_1)^{-1}Q^-_1\big)H_+ = \big((X^-_1)^{-1}H_-X^-_1\big)\big((X^-_1)^{-1}Q^-_1\big).$$

It follows from the latter equality that two $n \times n$ matrix Hamiltonians

$$H_+ = -I_n\partial^2 + V_+(x), \qquad \tilde{H}_- = -I_n\partial^2 + \tilde{V}_-(x), \quad \tilde{V}_-(x) = (X^-_1)^{-1}V_-(x)X^-_1$$

are intertwined by the first-order $n \times n$ matrix differential operator

$$\tilde{Q}^-_1 = I_n\partial + \tilde{X}^-_0(x), \quad \tilde{X}^-_0(x) = (X^-_1)^{-1}X^-_0(x),$$

so that

$$\tilde{Q}^-_1 H_+ = \tilde{H}_-\tilde{Q}^-_1. \tag{8}$$

Now we shall look for general solution of the intertwining relation (8). This solution can be found (see below) in the form of parametrization of the potentials $V_+(x)$ and $\tilde{V}_-(x)$ and of the superpotential $\tilde{X}^-_0(x)$ by n^2 arbitrary scalar functions which are, in general, complex-valued. After receiving of this solution general solution of intertwining relation (7) can be restored with the help of the following evident relations:

$$V_+(x) = V_+(x), \qquad V_-(x) = X^-_1\tilde{V}_-(x)(X^-_1)^{-1}, \qquad X^-_0(x) = X^-_1\tilde{X}^-_0(x) \tag{9}$$

with arbitrary nondegenerate $n \times n$ matrix X^-_1.

Intertwining relation (8) is equivalent to two equations,

$$\begin{aligned} V_+(x) &= -2\tilde{X}_0^-{}'(x) + \tilde{V}_-(x), \\ V_+'(x) + \tilde{X}_0^-(x)V_+(x) &= -\tilde{X}_0^-{}''(x) + \tilde{V}_-(x)\tilde{X}_0^-(x). \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

It follows from the first of these equations that $V_+(x)$ and $\tilde{V}_-(x)$ can be represented in the form

$$V_+(x) = V_0(x) - \tilde{X}_0^-{}'(x), \qquad \tilde{V}_-(x) = V_0(x) + \tilde{X}_0^-{}'(x), \tag{11}$$

with some unknown $n \times n$ matrix-valued function $V_0(x)$. This function by virtue of the second equation in (10) satisfies the equation

$$V_0'(x) = [V_0(x), \tilde{X}_0^-(x)] + \tilde{X}_0^-{}'(x)\tilde{X}_0^-(x) + \tilde{X}_0^-(x)\tilde{X}_0^-{}'(x).$$

The latter equation after the change

$$V_0(x) = U_0(x) + \big(\tilde{X}_0^-(x)\big)^2 \tag{12}$$

transforms into

$$U_0'(x) = [U_0(x), \tilde{X}_0^-(x)], \tag{13}$$

where $U_0(x)$ is new unknown $n \times n$ matrix-valued function.

General solution of the equation (13) can be constructed in some ways. One of these ways is the following. One can consider all n^2 elements of the matrix superpotential $\tilde{X}_0^-(x)$ as arbitrary complex-valued, in general, parametrizing functions. Then the equation (13) is a system of n^2 linear first-order ordinary differential equations with respect to elements of the matrix $U_0(x)$. General solution of this system is parametrized by n^2 arbitrary functions (elements of $\tilde{X}_0^-(x)$) and n^2 arbitrary complex, in general, constants.

Another way to find general solution of the system (13) is to take all n^2 elements of the matrix $U_0(x)$ as arbitrary complex-valued, in general, parametrizing functions. Then the equation (13) is a system of n^2 linear algebraic equations (SLAE) with respect to elements of the matrix superpotential $\tilde{X}_0^-(x)$. This SLAE is degenerate, in general, and conditions of its compatibility lead to restrictions on elements of the matrix $U_0(x)$ and, consequently, to a decrease in the number of independent functions among the elements of the matrix $U_0(x)$. Nevertheless, the total number of independent parametrizing functions is again equal to n^2 due to the appearance of free variables and to the evident fact that the number of compatibility conditions is equal to the number of appearing free variables. Thereby, general solution of SLAE (13) is parametrized by n^2 arbitrary functions (independent elements of $U_0(x)$ and free variables).

The latter of two described above ways of parametrization of general solution of (13) is more suitable than the former since the latter way in contrast to the former leads to explicit parametrizing formulae. Two more ways to construct general solution of (13) will be presented in the following two subsections.

Thus, general solution of intertwining relation (8) is given in view of (11) and (12) by the formulae

$$V_+(x) = U_0(x) + (\tilde{X}_0^-(x))^2 - \tilde{X}_0^-{}'(x), \qquad \tilde{V}_-(x) = U_0(x) + (\tilde{X}_0^-(x))^2 + \tilde{X}_0^-{}'(x), \tag{14}$$

where $U_0(x)$ and $\hat{X}_0^-(x)$ are found in one of the described ways. Hence, general solution of intertwining relation (7) is given by (9) together with (14).

It is evident that in view of (14) the Hamiltonians H_+ and $\tilde{H}_-$ can be represented in the form

$$H_+ = \tilde{Q}_1^+ \tilde{Q}_1^- + U_0(x), \quad \tilde{H}_- = \tilde{Q}_1^- \tilde{Q}_1^+ + U_0(x), \qquad \tilde{Q}_1^+ = -I_n \partial + \tilde{X}_0^-(x). \tag{15}$$

Moreover, the intertwining relation (8) for these Hamiltonians is provided by the condition

$$[U_0(x), \tilde{Q}_1^-] = 0 \tag{16}$$

which is equivalent to the equation (13).

Intertwining of the Hamiltonians H_+ and $\tilde{H}_-$ by the operator $\tilde{Q}_1^+$,

$$H_+ \tilde{Q}_1^+ = \tilde{Q}_1^+ \tilde{H}_- \tag{17}$$

is equivalent (in the case if this intertwining takes place) to the condition

$$[U_0(x), \tilde{Q}_1^+] = 0. \tag{18}$$

The latter condition is equivalent, in turn, in view of (16) to the equality

$$[U_0(x), \partial] = 0, \tag{19}$$

i.e. to independence of all elements of the matrix $U_0(x)$ from x.

By virtue of (9) and (15) general solution of intertwining relation (7) can be represented in the form

$$H_+ = Q_1^+ Q_1^- + U_0(x), \quad H_- = Q_1^- Q_1^+ + U(x), \qquad U(x) = X_1^- U_0(x) (X_1^-)^{-1}, \tag{20}$$

$$Q_1^- \equiv X_1^- \partial + X_0^-(x) = X_1^- \tilde{Q}_1^-, \qquad X_0^-(x) = X_1^- \tilde{X}_0^-(x), \tag{21}$$

$$Q_1^+ \equiv X_1^+ \partial + X_0^+(x) = \tilde{Q}_1^+ (X_1^-)^{-1}, \qquad X_1^+ = -(X_1^-)^{-1}, \quad X_0^+(x) = \tilde{X}_0^-(x)(X_1^-)^{-1}. \tag{22}$$

Intertwining (7) for the constructed Hamiltonians H_+ and H_- is valid due to the relation

$$Q_1^- U_0(x) = U(x) Q_1^-$$

which follows from (16), (20) and (21). It is easy to see that intertwining

$$H_+ Q_1^+ = Q_1^+ H_-$$

is equivalent to the relation

$$U_0(x) Q_1^+ = Q_1^+ U(x)$$

which is equivalent, in turn, by (20) and (22) to (18) and, consequently, to (19). The latter is obviously equivalent to independence of $U_0(x)$ and $U(x)$ from x.

3.2 Method of transformation vector-functions

Let us consider H_+ as known initial $n \times n$ matrix Hamiltonian and $\Phi_l^-(x)$, $l = 1, \ldots, n$ be a set of formal associated vector-functions of H_+ such that

$$H_+ \Phi_l = \lambda_l \Phi_l + \sigma_l \Phi_{l+1}, \qquad \sigma_l = \begin{cases} 1, & \Phi_l^-(x) \text{ is not a formal vector-eigenfunction,} \\ 0, & \Phi_l^-(x) \text{ is a formal vector-eigenfunction,} \end{cases} \tag{23}$$

$$\Phi_l^-(x)\equiv\begin{pmatrix}\varphi_{l1}^-(x)\\ \varphi_{l2}^-(x)\\ \vdots\\ \varphi_{ln}^-(x)\end{pmatrix},\quad l=1,\ldots,n,\qquad \Phi_{n+1}^-(x)\equiv\begin{pmatrix}0\\ 0\\ \vdots\\ 0\end{pmatrix},\tag{24}$$

where λ_l is the spectral value of H_+ corresponding to $\Phi_l^-(x)$, $l=1,\ldots,n$, and

$$\lambda_{l+1}=\lambda_l\quad\text{if}\quad\sigma_l=1,\qquad l=1,\ldots,n-1.\tag{25}$$

We shall suppose that the Wronskian of these vector-functions

$$W(x)\equiv\begin{vmatrix}\varphi_{11}^-(x) & \varphi_{12}^-(x) & \ldots & \varphi_{1n}^-(x)\\ \varphi_{21}^-(x) & \varphi_{22}^-(x) & \ldots & \varphi_{2n}^-(x)\\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots\\ \varphi_{n1}^-(x) & \varphi_{n2}^-(x) & \ldots & \varphi_{nn}^-(x)\end{vmatrix}\tag{26}$$

does not vanish on the entire axis. In this case we can consider all n^2 elements of the matrix potential $V_+(x)$ of the Hamiltonian H_+ as functions that implicitly parametrize vector-functions $\Phi_l^-(x)$, $l=1,\ldots,n$ and, consequently, the $n\times n$ matrix superpotential $X_0^-(x)$ from the intertwining operator $Q_1^-=X_1^-\partial+X_0^-(x)$ and the $n\times n$ matrix potential $V_-(x)$ of the final Hamiltonian H_- which will be constructed below in terms of $\Phi_l^-(x)$, $l=1,\ldots,n$.

It is possible as well to suppose that the Hamiltonian H_+ is not known initially and that the vector-functions (24) are arbitrary vector-functions with complex-valued, in general, components such that the Wronskian (26) does not vanish on the entire axis. In this case one can choose arbitrarily constants $\lambda_l\in\mathbb{C}$ and $\sigma_l\in\{0,1\}$, $l=1,\ldots,n$, so that the conditions (25) are valid, and thereafter to find the only $n\times n$ matrix potential

$$V_+(x)\equiv\|v_{ij}^+(x)\|$$

of the Hamiltonian H_+ such that the relations (23) hold with the help of solving of the following SLAEs:

$$\begin{cases}v_{l1}^+\varphi_{11}^-+v_{l2}^+\varphi_{12}^-+\cdots+v_{ln}^+\varphi_{1n}^- &=& \varphi_{1l}^{-\prime\prime}+\lambda_1\varphi_{1l}^-+\sigma_1\varphi_{2l}^-,\\ v_{l1}^+\varphi_{21}^-+v_{l2}^+\varphi_{22}^-+\cdots+v_{ln}^+\varphi_{2n}^- &=& \varphi_{2l}^{-\prime\prime}+\lambda_2\varphi_{2l}^-+\sigma_2\varphi_{3l}^-,\\ \qquad\qquad\vdots & & \\ v_{l1}^+\varphi_{n1}^-+v_{l2}^+\varphi_{n2}^-+\cdots+v_{ln}^+\varphi_{nn}^- &=& \varphi_{nl}^{-\prime\prime}+\lambda_n\varphi_{nl}^-+\sigma_n\varphi_{n+1,l}^-,\end{cases}\qquad l=1,\ldots,n,$$

which are equivalent to (23). Any of these SLAEs possesses by the only solution due to the fact that $W(x)$ does not vanish on the entire axis and, thus, elements of $V_+(x)$ can be found with the help of Cramer formulae:

$$v_{lj}^+=\frac{1}{W}\begin{vmatrix}\varphi_{11}^- & \cdots & \varphi_{1,j-1}^- & \varphi_{1l}^{-\prime\prime}+\lambda_1\varphi_{1l}^-+\sigma_1\varphi_{2l}^- & \varphi_{1,j+1}^- & \cdots & \varphi_{1n}^-\\ \varphi_{21}^- & \cdots & \varphi_{2,j-1}^- & \varphi_{2l}^{-\prime\prime}+\lambda_2\varphi_{2l}^-+\sigma_2\varphi_{3l}^- & \varphi_{2,j+1}^- & \cdots & \varphi_{2n}^-\\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots\\ \varphi_{n1}^- & \cdots & \varphi_{n,j-1}^- & \varphi_{nl}^{-\prime\prime}+\lambda_n\varphi_{nl}^-+\sigma_n\varphi_{n+1,l}^- & \varphi_{n,j+1}^- & \cdots & \varphi_{nn}^-\end{vmatrix},\quad l,j=1,\ldots,n.\tag{27}$$

In this case one can consider all n^2 components of $\Phi_l^-(x)$, $l=1,\ldots,n$ as parametrizing functions. Then elements of $V_+(x)$ are parametrized by these components explicitly with

the help of (27) and explicit parametrizations of $X_0^-(x)$ and $V_-(x)$ in terms of considered components will be presented below. Thus, the parametrization in terms of components of $\Phi_l^-(x)$, $l=1,\ldots,n$ is more suitable than the parametrization in terms of elements of $V_+(x)$ since the former is explicit and the latter is implicit.

Let us now construct an auxiliary operator $\tilde{Q}_1^-$, operators Q_1^- and Q_1^+ and Hamiltonian H_- and thereafter check that H_+ and H_- are intertwined by Q_1^-.

There is the only $n\times n$ matrix linear differential operator $\tilde{Q}_1^-$ of the form

$$\tilde{Q}_1^- \equiv I_n\partial + \tilde{X}_0^-(x),$$

kernel of which contains all vector-functions (24). This operator can be found with the help of the following evident explicit formula,

$$\tilde{Q}_1^- = \frac{1}{W(x)}\begin{vmatrix} \varphi_{11}^-(x) & \varphi_{12}^-(x) & \ldots & \varphi_{1n}^-(x) & \Phi_1'(x) \\ \varphi_{21}^-(x) & \varphi_{22}^-(x) & \ldots & \varphi_{2n}^-(x) & \Phi_2'(x) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \varphi_{n1}^-(x) & \varphi_{n2}^-(x) & \ldots & \varphi_{nn}^-(x) & \Phi_n'(x) \\ P_1 & P_2 & \ldots & P_n & I_n\partial \end{vmatrix},$$

$$P_l\,\Phi = \varphi_l, \qquad \forall\ \Phi(x) \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_1(x) \\ \varphi_2(x) \\ \vdots \\ \varphi_n(x). \end{pmatrix}, \qquad l=1,\ldots,n, \tag{28}$$

where during calculation of the determinant in each of its terms the corresponding of the operators $P_1,\ldots,P_n,\ I_n\partial$ must be placed on the last position. It is not hard to see in view of (28) that l-th column of the matrix $\tilde{X}_0^-(x)$ is equal to

$$-\frac{1}{W(x)}\begin{vmatrix} \varphi_{11}^-(x) & \ldots & \varphi_{1,l-1}^-(x) & \Phi_1'(x) & \varphi_{1,l+1}^-(x) & \ldots & \varphi_{1n}^-(x) \\ \varphi_{21}^-(x) & \ldots & \varphi_{2,l-1}^-(x) & \Phi_2'(x) & \varphi_{2,l+1}^-(x) & \ldots & \varphi_{2n}^-(x) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \varphi_{n1}^-(x) & \ldots & \varphi_{n,l-1}^-(x) & \Phi_n'(x) & \varphi_{n,l+1}^-(x) & \ldots & \varphi_{nn}^-(x) \end{vmatrix}, \qquad l=1,\ldots,n. \tag{29}$$

Using the operator $\tilde{Q}_1^-$ and arbitrary nondegenerate matrix X_1^- one can construct the operators Q_1^- and Q_1^+ with the help of the formulae (21) and (22) with $\tilde{Q}_1^+ = -I_n\partial + \tilde{X}_0^-(x)$, represent the Hamiltonian H_+ in the form

$$H_+ = Q_1^+Q_1^- + U_0(x), \qquad U_0(x) = V_+(x) - (\tilde{X}_0^-(x))^2 + \tilde{X}_0^{-\prime}(x) \tag{30}$$

(cf. with (14) and (20)) and build new Hamiltonian of Schrödinger form

$$H_- \equiv -I_n\partial^2 + V_-(x) = Q_1^-Q_1^+ + U(x), \qquad U(x) = X_1^-U_0(x)(X_1^-)^{-1},$$

$$\begin{aligned} V_-(x) &= X_1^-[(\tilde{X}_0^-(x))^2 + \tilde{X}_0^{-\prime}(x) + U_0(x)](X_1^-)^{-1} = X_1^-[V_+(x) + 2\tilde{X}_0^{-\prime}(x)](X_1^-)^{-1} \\ &= X_1^-V_+(x)(X_1^-)^{-1} + 2X_0^{-\prime}(x)(X_1^-)^{-1} \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

(cf. with (4) and (20)).

We shall check now that the Hamiltonians H_+ and H_- are intertwined by Q_1^- in accordance with (7). This intertwining in view of (30) and (31) is equivalent to the condition

$$Q_1^-U_0(x) - U(x)Q_1^- = 0. \tag{32}$$

The left-hand part of (32) by virtue of (21), (30) and (31) is an $n\times n$ matrix-valued function and the following chain is valid due to the construction of Q_1^- and to (23) and (30),

$$\begin{aligned}[Q_1^- U_0(x) - U(x)Q_1^-]\Phi_l &= [Q_1^- H_+ - Q_1^- Q_1^+ Q_1^- - U(x)Q_1^-]\Phi_l \\ &= Q_1^- H_+ \Phi_l = Q_1^-[\lambda_l \Phi_l + \sigma_l \Phi_{l+1}] \\ &= 0, \qquad l = 1, \dots, n.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, in view of the fact that the Wronskian $W(x)$ of vector-functions $\Phi_l(x)$, $l = 1, \dots, n$ does not vanish on the entire axis we have that the condition (32) takes place and, consequently, the operator Q_1^- intertwines the Hamiltonians H_+ and H_-.

Let us note that the condition that the Wronskian $W(x)$ does not vanish on the entire axis provides existence and smoothness (absence of pole(s)) for all considered in this subsection matrix-valued functions $V_+(x)$, $V_-(x)$, $\tilde{X}_0^-(x)$, $U_0(x)$ and $U(x)$ and as well for the coefficients $X_0^-(x)$ and $X_0^+(x)$ of the operators Q_1^- and Q_1^+ (see (21) and (22)).

All objects of this subsection coincide with the denoted in the same way objects of the previous subsection if to choose vector-functions $\Phi_l^-(x)$, $l = 1, \dots, n$ in this subsection as elements of a canonical basis in the kernel of the intertwining operator Q_1^- from the previous subsection. This statement is valid in view of the fact that matrix linear first-order differential operator with fixed nondegenerate matrix coefficient at ∂ is specified uniquely by a basis in its kernel. Thus, any solution of intertwining (7) with nondegenerate matrix coefficient X_1^- can be constructed as well by the method proposed in this subsection and general solution of the equation (13) can be presented in the form of explicit parametrization of $U_0(x)$ and $\tilde{X}_0^-(x)$ by n^2 components of vector-functions $\Phi_l^-(x)$, $l = 1, \dots, n$ and constants λ_l and σ_l, $l = 1, \dots, n$ with the help of the formulae (27), (29) and (30).

3.3 Method of transformation vector-functions vs method of transformation matrix

Using the transformation vector-functions $\Phi_l^-(x)$, $l = 1, \dots, n$ of Subsection 3.2, one can construct the matrix

$$\mathbf{\Phi}^-(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_{11}^-(x) & \varphi_{21}^-(x) & \dots & \varphi_{n1}^-(x) \\ \varphi_{12}^-(x) & \varphi_{22}^-(x) & \dots & \varphi_{n2}^-(x) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \varphi_{1n}^-(x) & \varphi_{2n}^-(x) & \dots & \varphi_{nn}^-(x) \end{pmatrix}. \tag{33}$$

This matrix, the Hamiltonian H_+ and the matrix $\mathbf{T}_1^+$, *i.e* the matrix $\mathbf{T}$ of the intertwining operator Q_1^- in the basis $\Phi_l^-(x)$, $l = 1, \dots, n$ are interrelated (see Subsection 2.2) by the equality

$$H_+ \mathbf{\Phi}^- = \mathbf{\Phi}^- (\mathbf{T}_1^+)^t, \tag{34}$$

which is equivalent to equalities (23). With the help of the matrix $\mathbf{\Phi}^-(x)$ one can represent [17] the intertwining operator $\tilde{Q}_1^-$ in the form

$$\tilde{Q}_1^- = I_n \partial - \mathbf{\Phi}^{-\prime}(x)(\mathbf{\Phi}^-(x))^{-1} \tag{35}$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad Q_1^- = X_1^-[I_n \partial - \mathbf{\Phi}^{-\prime}(x)(\mathbf{\Phi}^-(x))^{-1}], \tag{36}$$

where (35) holds due to the following chain

$$\begin{aligned}&[I_n\partial - \mathbf{\Phi}^{-\prime}(x)(\mathbf{\Phi}^-(x))^{-1}]\mathbf{\Phi}^-(x) = 0 \\ &\qquad \Rightarrow \qquad [I_n \partial - \mathbf{\Phi}^{-\prime}(x)(\mathbf{\Phi}^-(x))^{-1}]\Phi_l^-(x) = 0, \qquad l = 1, \dots, n \\ &\qquad \Rightarrow \qquad \ker[I_n \partial - \mathbf{\Phi}^{-\prime}(x)(\mathbf{\Phi}^-(x))^{-1}] = \ker \tilde{Q}_1^-.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, there is another formula for finding of the matrix $\tilde{X}_0^-(x)$:

$$\tilde{X}_0^-(x) = -\mathbf{\Phi}^{-\prime}(x)(\mathbf{\Phi}^-(x))^{-1}. \tag{37}$$

The equalities (35) and (36) for the corresponding partial cases were found earlier in [2, 18, 19].

One can represent the Hamiltonians H_+ and $\tilde{H}_-$ with the help of the matrix $\mathbf{\Phi}^-(x)$ in the form

$$\begin{aligned} H_+ &= \tilde{Q}_1^+\tilde{Q}_1^- + \mathbf{\Phi}^-(x)(\mathbf{T}_1^+)^t(\mathbf{\Phi}^-(x))^{-1}, & (38)\\ \tilde{H}_- &= \tilde{Q}_1^-\tilde{Q}_1^+ + \mathbf{\Phi}^-(x)(\mathbf{T}_1^+)^t(\mathbf{\Phi}^-(x))^{-1} & (39) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \qquad H_+ &= Q_1^+Q_1^- + \mathbf{\Phi}^-(x)(\mathbf{T}_1^+)^t(\mathbf{\Phi}^-(x))^{-1},\\ H_- &= Q_1^-Q_1^+ + X_1^-\mathbf{\Phi}^-(x)(\mathbf{T}_1^+)^t(\mathbf{\Phi}^-(x))^{-1}(X_1^-)^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where (38) and (39) take place due to the equalities (15), (34) and

$$[\tilde{Q}_1^+\tilde{Q}_1^- + \mathbf{\Phi}^-(x)(\mathbf{T}_1^+)^t(\mathbf{\Phi}^-(x))^{-1}]\mathbf{\Phi}^-(x) = \mathbf{\Phi}^-(x)(\mathbf{T}_1^+)^t$$

and to the facts that the Wronskian $W(x) \equiv \det \mathbf{\Phi}^-(x)$ does not vanish on the entire axis and the right-hand part of (38) is a matrix Hamiltonian of Schrödinger form. The formulae (38) and (39) were received earlier for the corresponding partial cases in [18, 19].

It follows from (15) and (38) that

$$U_0(x) = \mathbf{\Phi}^-(x)(\mathbf{T}_1^+)^t(\mathbf{\Phi}^-(x))^{-1}. \tag{40}$$

Hence, the spectrum of the matrix $U_0(x)$ does not depend on x. Moreover, since the vector-functions $\Phi_l^-(x)$, $l = 1, \ldots, n$ constitute a canonical basis in $\ker Q_1^-$ and thereby the matrix $\mathbf{T}_1^+$ is of normal (Jordan) form, so a normal (Jordan) form of $U_0(x)$ coincides with $\mathbf{T}_1^+$ up to possible permutation of Jordan blocks. In the particular case where all vector-functions $\Phi_l^-(x)$, $l = 1, \ldots, n$ are formal vector-eigenfunctions of H_+ for the same spectral value $\lambda_0 = \lambda_1 = \ldots = \lambda_n$, the matrix $U_0(x)$ takes obviously the form

$$U_0(x) = \lambda_0 I_n.$$

Thus, in view of the results of Subsection 3.2 any solution of the intertwining (7) with nondegenerate matrix X_1^- can be constructed as well in terms of a matrix of the form (33) and general solution of the equation (13) can be presented in the form of explicit parametrization of $U_0(x)$ and $\tilde{X}_0^-(x)$ by n^2 components of vector-functions $\Phi_l^-(x)$, $l = 1, \ldots, n$ and constants λ_l and σ_l, $l = 1, \ldots, n$ with the help of the formulae (37) and (40).

4 Constructing of a higher-order matrix intertwining operator: method of transformation vector-functions

It is possible to build chains of first-order matrix intertwining operators with the help of the formulae of Section 3 and as well higher-order matrix intertwining operators in the form of products of elements of such chains. Results of this type can be found, for example, in [18, 19] and in the more general form in Remark 1 of [44]. But the indicated way of

constructing of higher-order matrix intertwining operators is rather restricted since [23] for any $n \geqslant 2$ and $N \geqslant 2$ there are $n \times n$ matrix intertwining operators of the N-th order that cannot be represented in the form of products of matrix intertwining operators of the lower orders. We present below the method that generalizes method of Subsection 3.2 and allows to construct any $n \times n$ matrix intertwining operator of arbitrary order N with arbitrary constant nondegenerate matrix coefficient at ∂^N and the corresponding final matrix Hamiltonian in terms of transformation vector-functions.

Let us consider H_+ as known initial $n \times n$ matrix Hamiltonian and $\Phi_l^-(x)$, $l = 1, \ldots, nN$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$ be a set of formal associated vector-functions of H_+ such that the formulae (23) and (24) take place for any $l = 1, \ldots, nN$, $\Phi_{nN+1}^-(x) \equiv 0$, the condition (25) is valid for any $l = 1, \ldots, nN-1$ and the Wronskian of these vector-functions

$$W(x) \equiv \begin{vmatrix} \varphi_{11}^- & \cdots & \varphi_{1n}^- & \varphi_{11}^{-\prime} & \cdots & \varphi_{1n}^{-\prime} & \cdots & (\varphi_{11}^-)^{(N-1)} & \cdots & (\varphi_{1n}^-)^{(N-1)} \\ \varphi_{21}^- & \cdots & \varphi_{2n}^- & \varphi_{21}^{-\prime} & \cdots & \varphi_{2n}^{-\prime} & \cdots & (\varphi_{21}^-)^{(N-1)} & \cdots & (\varphi_{2n}^-)^{(N-1)} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \varphi_{nN,1}^- & \cdots & \varphi_{nN,n}^- & \varphi_{nN,1}^{-\prime} & \cdots & \varphi_{nN,n}^{-\prime} & \cdots & (\varphi_{nN,1}^-)^{(N-1)} & \cdots & (\varphi_{nN,n}^-)^{(N-1)} \end{vmatrix}$$

does not vanish on the entire axis. There is the only $n \times n$ matrix linear differential operator of the N-th order Q_N^- with arbitrary nondegenerate constant $n \times n$ matrix coefficient X_N^- at ∂^N, kernel of which contains all vector-functions $\Phi_l^-(x)$, $l = 1, \ldots, nN$, and, moreover, one can find this operator with help of the following evident formula,

$$Q_N^- = \frac{1}{W} X_N^- \begin{vmatrix} \varphi_{11}^- & \cdots & \varphi_{1n}^- & \varphi_{11}^{-\prime} & \cdots & \varphi_{1n}^{-\prime} & \cdots & (\varphi_{11}^-)^{(N-1)} & \cdots & (\varphi_{1n}^-)^{(N-1)} & (\Phi_1^-)^{(N)} \\ \varphi_{21}^- & \cdots & \varphi_{2n}^- & \varphi_{21}^{-\prime} & \cdots & \varphi_{2n}^{-\prime} & \cdots & (\varphi_{21}^-)^{(N-1)} & \cdots & (\varphi_{2n}^-)^{(N-1)} & (\Phi_2^-)^{(N)} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \varphi_{nN,1}^- & \cdots & \varphi_{nN,n}^- & \varphi_{nN,1}^{-\prime} & \cdots & \varphi_{nN,n}^{-\prime} & \cdots & (\varphi_{nN,1}^-)^{(N-1)} & \cdots & (\varphi_{nN,n}^-)^{(N-1)} & (\Phi_{nN}^-)^{(N)} \\ P_1 & \ldots & P_n & P_1\partial & \ldots & P_n\partial & \ldots & P_1\partial^{N-1} & \ldots & P_n\partial^{N-1} & I_n\partial^N \end{vmatrix}, \tag{41}$$

where $P_1, \ldots, P_n$ are the same projection operators as in (28) and during calculation of the determinant (41) in each of its terms the corresponding of the operators $P_1, \ldots, P_n$, $P_1\partial, \ldots, P_n\partial$, $P_1\partial^{N-1}, \ldots, P_n\partial^{N-1}$, $I_n\partial^N$ must be placed on the last position. It follows from (41) that l-th column of the matrix coefficient $X_j^-(x)$ of Q_N^- (see (2)) is equal to

$$-\frac{1}{W} X_N^- \begin{vmatrix} \varphi_{11}^- & \cdots & \varphi_{1n}^- & \varphi_{11}^{-\prime} & \cdots & \varphi_{1n}^{-\prime} & \cdots \\ \varphi_{21}^- & \cdots & \varphi_{2n}^- & \varphi_{21}^{-\prime} & \cdots & \varphi_{2n}^{-\prime} & \cdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots \\ \varphi_{nN,1}^- & \cdots & \varphi_{nN,n}^- & \varphi_{nN,1}^{-\prime} & \cdots & \varphi_{nN,n}^{-\prime} & \cdots \end{vmatrix}$$

$$\begin{vmatrix} (\varphi_{1,l-1}^-)^{(j)} & (\Phi_1^-)^{(N)} & (\varphi_{1,l+1}^-)^{(j)} & \cdots & (\varphi_{11}^-)^{(N-1)} & \cdots & (\varphi_{1n}^-)^{(N-1)} \\ (\varphi_{2,l-1}^-)^{(j)} & (\Phi_2^-)^{(N)} & (\varphi_{2,l+1}^-)^{(j)} & \cdots & (\varphi_{21}^-)^{(N-1)} & \cdots & (\varphi_{2n}^-)^{(N-1)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ (\varphi_{nN,l-1}^-)^{(j)} & (\Phi_{nN}^-)^{(N)} & (\varphi_{nN,l+1}^-)^{(j)} & \cdots & (\varphi_{nN,1}^-)^{(N-1)} & \cdots & (\varphi_{nN,n}^-)^{(N-1)} \end{vmatrix},$$

$$l = 1, \ldots, n, \qquad j = 0, \ldots, N-1. \tag{42}$$

The operator Q_N^- intertwines [23] the initial Hamiltonian H_+ with some new $n\times n$ matrix Hamiltonian of Schrödinger form $H_-\equiv -I_n\partial^2+V_-(x)$ according to (2) and the potential $V_-(x)$ of H_- can be found with the help of (4) and (42) with $j=N-1$.

It should be emphasized that the condition that the Wronskian $W(x)$ is nonvanishing on the entire axis guarantees in view of (4), (41) and (42) existence for Q_N^- and smoothness (absence of pole(s)) for the matrix-valued functions $X_0^-(x),\dots,X_{N-1}^-(x)$ and $V_-(x)$. The partial case of the representation of $Q_N^-\Phi$ for arbitrary n-dimensional vector-function $\Phi(x)$ with the help of (41) and of the representation of $V_-(x)$ with the help of (4) and (42) with $j=N-1$ when all vector-functions $\Phi_l^-(x)$, $l=1,\dots,nN$ are formal vector-eigenfunctions of the Hamiltonian H_+ and $X_N^-=I_n$ was found in [18].

The fact that any $n\times n$ matrix intertwining operator of arbitrary order N with arbitrary nondegenerate constant matrix coefficient at ∂^N can be obtained by the method presented in this section is a corollary of the facts that (i) for any operator of this type there is a canonical basis in its kernel, the Wronskian of which does not vanish on the entire axis and (ii) an $n\times n$ matrix linear differential operator of the order N with a given nondegenerate constant matrix coefficient at ∂^N is uniquely determined by a basis in its kernel.

5 Examples: case $n=2$, $N=1$

In this section we present some examples of constructing of 2×2 matrix linear differential intertwining operators of the first order Q_1^- and the corresponding to them new 2×2 matrix Hamiltonians H_- of Schrödinger form with the help of the methods of Section 3. As well, we demonstrate by dint of these examples the capabilities of the methods for spectral design of matrix Hamiltonians. As initial 2×2 matrix Hamiltonian H_+ we shall use the Hamiltonian of Schrödinger form with zero 2×2 matrix potential $V_+(x)$,

$$H_+=-I_2\partial^2,\qquad V_+(x)=\begin{pmatrix}0&0\\0&0\end{pmatrix}. \tag{43}$$

Since a vector-eigenfunctions for the continuous spectrum of the new Hamiltonians H_- can be straightforwardly calculated in trivial way,

$$\Psi_\uparrow(x;\kappa)=Q_1^-\begin{pmatrix}e^{i\kappa x}\\0\end{pmatrix},\qquad \Psi_\downarrow(x;\kappa)=Q_1^-\begin{pmatrix}0\\e^{i\kappa x}\end{pmatrix},$$

$$H_-\Psi_{\uparrow,\downarrow}=\kappa^2\Psi_{\uparrow,\downarrow},\qquad \kappa\in\mathbb{R},$$

so we shall seek only normalizable vector-eigenfunctions and associated vector-functions of these Hamiltonians.

It is not hard to see that for the Hamiltonian (43) there is the following complete set of linearly independent formal eigen- and associated of the first order vector-functions for the spectral value $\lambda=-k^2\neq 0$:

$$\Psi_{1,0}(x)=\begin{pmatrix}e^{kx}\\0\end{pmatrix},\quad \Psi_{2,0}(x)=\begin{pmatrix}e^{-kx}\\0\end{pmatrix},\quad \Psi_{3,0}(x)=\begin{pmatrix}0\\e^{kx}\end{pmatrix},\quad \Psi_{4,0}(x)=\begin{pmatrix}0\\e^{-kx}\end{pmatrix},$$

$$\Psi_{1,1}(x)=\begin{pmatrix}-\frac{xe^{kx}}{2k}\\0\end{pmatrix},\quad \Psi_{2,1}(x)=\begin{pmatrix}\frac{xe^{-kx}}{2k}\\0\end{pmatrix},\quad \Psi_{3,1}(x)=\begin{pmatrix}0\\-\frac{xe^{kx}}{2k}\end{pmatrix},\quad \Psi_{4,1}(x)=\begin{pmatrix}0\\\frac{xe^{-kx}}{2k}\end{pmatrix},$$

$$H_+\Psi_{i,0}=\lambda\Psi_{i,0},\qquad (H_+-\lambda I_2)\Psi_{i,1}=\Psi_{i,0},\qquad i=1,2,3,4. \tag{44}$$

These vector-functions will be used below for constructing of the intertwining operators and new Hamiltonians.

We accept the following notation in this section: λ_1 and λ_2 are eigenvalues of the matrix $\mathbf{T}$ of the intertwining operator Q_1^- and g_1^- is the geometric multiplicity of the eigenvalue λ_1. As well, we suppose that the matrix coefficient X_1^- at ∂ in the operator Q_1^- is equal to the identity matrix, $X_1^- = I_2$.

5.1 Subcase $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$: adding up to two bound states with different energy values

In this subcase general form of transformation vector-functions $\Phi_1^-(x)$ and $\Phi_2^-(x)$ is the following in view of (44),

$$\Phi_1^-(x) = \begin{pmatrix} C_1 e^{k_1 x} + C_2 e^{-k_1 x} \\ C_3 e^{k_1 x} + C_4 e^{-k_1 x} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \Phi_2^-(x) = \begin{pmatrix} C_5 e^{k_2 x} + C_6 e^{-k_2 x} \\ C_7 e^{k_2 x} + C_8 e^{-k_2 x} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$H_+ \Phi_i^- = \lambda_j \Phi_i^-, \quad \lambda_i = -k_i^2 \neq 0, \qquad i = 1, 2, \tag{45}$$

where $C_1, \ldots, C_8$ are arbitrary complex, in general, constants and we assume without the loss of generality that $C_1 = 1$. The remaining constants $C_2, \ldots, C_8$ are chosen so that the Wronskian $W(x)$ of the vector-functions $\Phi_1^-(x)$ and $\Phi_2^-(x)$,

$$\begin{aligned} W(x) = {} & [C_7 - C_3 C_5] e^{(k_1+k_2)x} + [C_8 - C_3 C_6] e^{(k_1-k_2)x} + \\ & + [C_2 C_7 - C_4 C_5] e^{-(k_1-k_2)x} + [C_2 C_8 - C_4 C_6] e^{-(k_1+k_2)x}, \end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

does not vanish on the real axis. The operators Q_1^- and Q_1^+, the matrix $U_0(x)$ and the new Hamiltonians H_- take the following form,

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1^{\pm} = \mp I_2 \partial - \frac{1}{W(x)} \Bigg[& \begin{pmatrix} k_1 C_7 - k_2 C_3 C_5 & -(k_1 - k_2) C_5 \\ (k_1 - k_2) C_3 C_7 & k_2 C_7 - k_1 C_3 C_5 \end{pmatrix} e^{(k_1+k_2)x} \\ & + \begin{pmatrix} k_1 C_8 + k_2 C_3 C_6 & -(k_1 + k_2) C_6 \\ (k_1 + k_2) C_3 C_8 & -(k_2 C_8 + k_1 C_3 C_6) \end{pmatrix} e^{(k_1-k_2)x} \\ & + \begin{pmatrix} -(k_1 C_2 C_7 + k_2 C_4 C_5) & (k_1 + k_2) C_2 C_5 \\ -(k_1 + k_2) C_4 C_7 & (k_2 C_2 C_7 + k_1 C_4 C_5) \end{pmatrix} e^{-(k_1-k_2)x} \\ & + \begin{pmatrix} -(k_1 C_2 C_8 - k_2 C_4 C_6) & (k_1 - k_2) C_2 C_6 \\ -(k_1 - k_2) C_4 C_8 & -(k_2 C_2 C_8 - k_1 C_4 C_6) \end{pmatrix} e^{-(k_1+k_2)x} \Bigg], \end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

$$\begin{aligned} U_0(x) = \frac{1}{W(x)} \Bigg[& \begin{pmatrix} -(k_1^2 C_7 - k_2^2 C_3 C_5) & (k_1^2 - k_2^2) C_5 \\ -(k_1^2 - k_2^2) C_3 C_7 & -(k_2^2 C_7 - k_1^2 C_3 C_5) \end{pmatrix} e^{(k_1+k_2)x} \\ & + \begin{pmatrix} -(k_1^2 C_8 - k_2^2 C_3 C_6) & (k_1^2 - k_2^2) C_6 \\ -(k_1^2 - k_2^2) C_3 C_8 & -(k_2^2 C_8 - k_1^2 C_3 C_6) \end{pmatrix} e^{(k_1-k_2)x} \\ & + \begin{pmatrix} -(k_1^2 C_2 C_7 - k_2^2 C_4 C_5) & (k_1^2 - k_2^2) C_2 C_5 \\ -(k_1^2 - k_2^2) C_4 C_7 & -(k_2^2 C_2 C_7 - k_1^2 C_4 C_5) \end{pmatrix} e^{-(k_1-k_2)x} \\ & + \begin{pmatrix} -(k_1^2 C_2 C_8 - k_2^2 C_4 C_6) & (k_1^2 - k_2^2) C_2 C_6 \\ -(k_1^2 - k_2^2) C_4 C_8 & -(k_2^2 C_2 C_8 - k_1^2 C_4 C_6) \end{pmatrix} e^{-(k_1+k_2)x} \Bigg], \end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_- = &-I_2\partial^2 - \frac{4}{W^2(x)}\\
&\times\Bigg[\begin{pmatrix} C_3[k_1\Delta_2 - k_2(\delta_2 - 2C_3C_5C_6)] & -k_1\Delta_2 + k_2(\delta_2 - 2C_3C_5C_6)\\ C_3[k_1\Delta_2C_3 + k_2(\delta_2C_3 - 2C_7C_8)] & -k_1\Delta_2C_3 - k_2(\delta_2C_3 - 2C_7C_8)\end{pmatrix} k_2e^{2k_1x}\\
&+\begin{pmatrix} C_7[k_2\Delta_1C_5 - k_1(\delta_1C_5 - 2C_2C_7)] & -C_5[k_2\Delta_1C_5 - k_1(\delta_1C_5 - 2C_2C_7)]\\ C_7[k_2\Delta_1C_7 + k_1(\delta_1C_7 - 2C_3C_4C_5)] & -C_5[k_2\Delta_1C_7 + k_1(\delta_1C_7 - 2C_3C_4C_5)]\end{pmatrix} k_1e^{2k_2x}\\
&+\begin{pmatrix} -C_8[k_2\Delta_1C_6 + k_1(\delta_1C_6 - 2C_2C_8)] & C_6[k_2\Delta_1C_6 + k_1(\delta_1C_6 - 2C_2C_8)]\\ -C_8[k_2\Delta_1C_8 - k_1(\delta_1C_8 - 2C_3C_4C_6)] & C_6[k_2\Delta_1C_8 - k_1(\delta_1C_8 - 2C_3C_4C_6)]\end{pmatrix} k_1e^{-2k_2x}\\
&+\begin{pmatrix} -C_4[k_1\Delta_2C_2 + k_2(\delta_2C_2 - 2C_4C_5C_6)] & C_2[k_1\Delta_2C_2 + k_2(\delta_2C_2 - 2C_4C_5C_6)]\\ -C_4[k_1\Delta_2C_4 - k_2(\delta_2C_4 - 2C_2C_7C_8)] & C_2[k_1\Delta_2C_4 - k_2(\delta_2C_4 - 2C_2C_7C_8)]\end{pmatrix} k_2e^{-2k_1x}\\
&+2\begin{pmatrix} 2(k_1^2C_2C_7C_8 + k_2^2C_3C_4C_5C_6) & (k_1^2 - k_2^2)(\delta_1C_5C_6 - \delta_2C_2)\\ (k_1^2 - k_2^2)(\delta_1C_7C_8 - \delta_2C_3C_4) & 2(k_2^2C_2C_7C_8 + k_1^2C_3C_4C_5C_6)\end{pmatrix}\\
&-\big[(k_1^2 + k_2^2)\delta_1\delta_2 - 2k_1k_2\Delta_1\Delta_2\big]I_2\Bigg],
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\Delta_1 = C_4 - C_2C_3, && \delta_1 = C_4 + C_2C_3,\\
&\Delta_2 = C_5C_8 - C_6C_7, && \delta_2 = C_5C_8 + C_6C_7,
\end{aligned}
\tag{49}
$$

so that

$$
H_+ = Q_1^+Q_1^- + U_0(x), \qquad H_- = Q_1^-Q_1^+ + U_0(x), \qquad Q_1^-H_+ = H_-Q_1^-. \tag{50}
$$

For the spectral values λ_1 and λ_2 of the Hamiltonian H_- one can easily construct formal vector-eigenfunctions

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Psi_1^+(x) = Q_1^-\begin{pmatrix} e^{k_1x}\\ 0\end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{W(x)}\Bigg[&-(k_1-k_2)C_3\begin{pmatrix} C_5\\ C_7\end{pmatrix}e^{(2k_1+k_2)x} - (k_1+k_2)C_3\begin{pmatrix} C_6\\ C_8\end{pmatrix}e^{(2k_1-k_2)x}\\
&+\begin{pmatrix} 2k_1C_2C_7 - (k_1-k_2)C_4C_5\\ (k_1+k_2)C_4C_7\end{pmatrix}e^{k_2x} + \begin{pmatrix} 2k_1C_2C_8 - (k_1+k_2)C_4C_6\\ (k_1-k_2)C_4C_8\end{pmatrix}e^{-k_2x}\Bigg],\\
\Psi_2^+(x) = Q_1^-\begin{pmatrix} e^{-k_1x}\\ 0\end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{W(x)}\Bigg[&(k_1-k_2)C_4\begin{pmatrix} C_6\\ C_8\end{pmatrix}e^{-(2k_1+k_2)x} + (k_1+k_2)C_4\begin{pmatrix} C_5\\ C_7\end{pmatrix}e^{-(2k_1-k_2)x}\\
&-\begin{pmatrix} 2k_1C_7 - (k_1+k_2)C_3C_5\\ (k_1-k_2)C_3C_7\end{pmatrix}e^{k_2x} - \begin{pmatrix} 2k_1C_8 - (k_1-k_2)C_3C_6\\ (k_1+k_2)C_3C_8\end{pmatrix}e^{-k_2x}\Bigg],\\
\Psi_3^+(x) = Q_1^-\begin{pmatrix} 0\\ e^{k_1x}\end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{W(x)}\Bigg[&(k_1-k_2)\begin{pmatrix} C_5\\ C_7\end{pmatrix}e^{(2k_1+k_2)x} + (k_1+k_2)\begin{pmatrix} C_6\\ C_8\end{pmatrix}e^{(2k_1-k_2)x}\\
&-\begin{pmatrix} (k_1+k_2)C_2C_5\\ 2k_1C_4C_5 - (k_1-k_2)C_2C_7\end{pmatrix}e^{k_2x} - \begin{pmatrix} (k_1-k_2)C_2C_6\\ 2k_1C_4C_6 - (k_1+k_2)C_2C_8\end{pmatrix}e^{-k_2x}\Bigg],\\
\Psi_4^+(x) = Q_1^-\begin{pmatrix} 0\\ e^{-k_1x}\end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{W(x)}\Bigg[&-(k_1-k_2)C_2\begin{pmatrix} C_6\\ C_8\end{pmatrix}e^{-(2k_1+k_2)x} - (k_1+k_2)C_2\begin{pmatrix} C_5\\ C_7\end{pmatrix}e^{-(2k_1-k_2)x}\\
&+\begin{pmatrix} (k_1-k_2)C_5\\ 2k_1C_3C_5 - (k_1+k_2)C_7\end{pmatrix}e^{k_2x} + \begin{pmatrix} (k_1+k_2)C_6\\ 2k_1C_3C_6 - (k_1-k_2)C_8\end{pmatrix}e^{-k_2x}\Bigg],\\
\Psi_5^+(x) = Q_1^-\begin{pmatrix} e^{k_2x}\\ 0\end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{W(x)}\Bigg[&-(k_1-k_2)C_7\begin{pmatrix} 1\\ C_3\end{pmatrix}e^{(k_1+2k_2)x} + (k_1+k_2)C_7\begin{pmatrix} C_2\\ C_4\end{pmatrix}e^{-(k_1-2k_2)x}\\
&-\begin{pmatrix} 2k_2C_3C_6 + (k_1-k_2)C_8\\ (k_1+k_2)C_3C_8\end{pmatrix}e^{k_1x} - \begin{pmatrix} 2k_2C_4C_6 - (k_1+k_2)C_2C_8\\ -(k_1-k_2)C_4C_8\end{pmatrix}e^{-k_1x}\Bigg],
\end{aligned}
$$

$$\Psi_6^+(x)=Q_1^-\begin{pmatrix}e^{-k_2x}\\0\end{pmatrix}=\frac{1}{W(x)}\Big[(k_1-k_2)C_8\begin{pmatrix}C_2\\C_4\end{pmatrix}e^{-(k_1+2k_2)x}-(k_1+k_2)C_8\begin{pmatrix}1\\C_3\end{pmatrix}e^{(k_1-2k_2)x}$$
$$+\begin{pmatrix}2k_2C_3C_5-(k_1+k_2)C_7\\-(k_1-k_2)C_3C_7\end{pmatrix}e^{k_1x}+\begin{pmatrix}2k_2C_4C_5+(k_1-k_2)C_2C_7\\(k_1+k_2)C_4C_7\end{pmatrix}e^{-k_1x}\Big],$$
$$\Psi_7^+(x)=Q_1^-\begin{pmatrix}0\\e^{k_2x}\end{pmatrix}=\frac{1}{W(x)}\Big[(k_1-k_2)C_5\begin{pmatrix}1\\C_3\end{pmatrix}e^{(k_1+2k_2)x}-(k_1+k_2)C_5\begin{pmatrix}C_2\\C_4\end{pmatrix}e^{-(k_1-2k_2)x}$$
$$+\begin{pmatrix}(k_1+k_2)C_6\\2k_2C_8+(k_1-k_2)C_3C_6\end{pmatrix}e^{k_1x}+\begin{pmatrix}-(k_1-k_2)C_2C_6\\2k_2C_2C_8-(k_1+k_2)C_4C_6\end{pmatrix}e^{-k_1x}\Big],$$
$$\Psi_8^+(x)=Q_1^-\begin{pmatrix}0\\e^{-k_2x}\end{pmatrix}=\frac{1}{W(x)}\Big[-(k_1-k_2)C_6\begin{pmatrix}C_2\\C_4\end{pmatrix}e^{-(k_1+2k_2)x}+(k_1+k_2)C_6\begin{pmatrix}1\\C_3\end{pmatrix}e^{(k_1-2k_2)x}$$
$$-\begin{pmatrix}-(k_1-k_2)C_5\\2k_2C_7-(k_1+k_2)C_3C_5\end{pmatrix}e^{k_1x}-\begin{pmatrix}(k_1+k_2)C_2C_5\\2k_2C_2C_7+(k_1-k_2)C_4C_5\end{pmatrix}e^{-k_1x}\Big],$$

$$H_-\Psi_i^+=\lambda_1\Psi_i^+,\quad i=1,2,3,4,\qquad H_-\Psi_j^+=\lambda_2\Psi_j^+,\quad j=5,6,7,8,\tag{51}$$

only six of which are linearly independent in view of the fact that the vector-functions $\Phi_1^-(x)$ and $\Phi_2^-(x)$ (see (45)) form a canonical basis in the kernel of Q_1^-. The latter leads to the relations

$$\Psi_1^+(x)+C_2\Psi_2^+(x)+C_3\Psi_3^+(x)+C_4\Psi_4^+(x)=0,$$
$$C_5\Psi_5^+(x)+C_6\Psi_6^+(x)+C_7\Psi_7^+(x)+C_8\Psi_8^+(x)=0.\tag{52}$$

It follows from the results of [23] that in the considered subcase $\lambda_1\neq\lambda_2$ there is linear differential operator of the 3-rd order Q_3^+ with the coefficient I_2 at ∂^3 that intertwines the Hamiltonians H_+ and H_- in the opposite direction, $Q_3^+H_-=H_+Q_3^+$, and six linearly independent vector-functions from the set (51) form a canonical basis in the kernel of Q_3^+ providing an opportunity to construct Q_3^+ explicitly with the help of (41).

A linearly independent of (51) formal vector-eigenfunctions $\Psi_9^+(x)$ and $\Psi_{10}^+(x)$ of the Hamiltonian H_- for the spectral values λ_1 and λ_2 respectively can be found in the form

$$\Psi_9^+(x)=Q_1^-\begin{pmatrix}-\frac{x}{2k_1}e^{k_1x}+C_2\frac{x}{2k_1}e^{-k_1x}\\-C_3\frac{x}{2k_1}e^{k_1x}+C_4\frac{x}{2k_1}e^{-k_1x}\end{pmatrix}$$
$$=-\frac{1}{2k_1W(x)}\Big[(C_7-C_3C_5)\begin{pmatrix}1\\C_3\end{pmatrix}e^{(2k_1+k_2)x}+(C_8-C_3C_6)\begin{pmatrix}1\\C_3\end{pmatrix}e^{(2k_1-k_2)x}$$
$$+2\begin{pmatrix}k_2\Delta_1C_5-k_1(\delta_1C_5-2C_2C_7)\\k_2\Delta_1C_7+k_1(\delta_1C_7-2C_3C_4C_5)\end{pmatrix}xe^{k_2x}-\Delta_1\begin{pmatrix}C_5\\C_7\end{pmatrix}e^{k_2x}$$
$$-\Delta_1\begin{pmatrix}C_6\\C_8\end{pmatrix}e^{-k_2x}-2\begin{pmatrix}k_2\Delta_1C_6+k_1(\delta_1C_6-2C_2C_8)\\k_2\Delta_1C_8-k_1(\delta_1C_8-2C_3C_4C_6)\end{pmatrix}xe^{-k_2x}$$
$$-(C_2C_7-C_4C_5)\begin{pmatrix}C_2\\C_4\end{pmatrix}e^{-(2k_1-k_2)x}-(C_2C_8-C_4C_6)\begin{pmatrix}C_2\\C_4\end{pmatrix}e^{-(2k_1+k_2)x}\Big],$$
$$\Psi_{10}^+(x)=Q_1^-\begin{pmatrix}-C_5\frac{x}{2k_2}e^{k_2x}+C_6\frac{x}{2k_2}e^{-k_2x}\\-C_7\frac{x}{2k_2}e^{k_2x}+C_8\frac{x}{2k_2}e^{-k_2x}\end{pmatrix}$$
$$=-\frac{1}{2k_2W(x)}\Big[(C_7-C_3C_5)\begin{pmatrix}C_5\\C_7\end{pmatrix}e^{(k_1+2k_2)x}+(C_2C_7-C_4C_5)\begin{pmatrix}C_5\\C_7\end{pmatrix}e^{-(k_1-2k_2)x}$$
$$-2\begin{pmatrix}k_1\Delta_2-k_2(\delta_2-2C_3C_5C_6)\\k_1\Delta_2C_3+k_2(\delta_2C_3-2C_7C_8)\end{pmatrix}xe^{k_1x}+\Delta_2\begin{pmatrix}1\\C_3\end{pmatrix}e^{k_1x}$$

$$+\Delta_2\begin{pmatrix}C_2\\C_4\end{pmatrix}e^{-k_1x}+2\begin{pmatrix}k_1\Delta_2C_2+k_2(\delta_2C_2-2C_4C_5C_6)\\k_1\Delta_2C_4-k_2(\delta_2C_4-2C_2C_7C_8)\end{pmatrix}xe^{-k_1x}$$
$$-(C_8-C_3C_6)\begin{pmatrix}C_6\\C_8\end{pmatrix}e^{(k_1-2k_2)x}-(C_2C_8-C_4C_6)\begin{pmatrix}C_6\\C_8\end{pmatrix}e^{-(k_1+2k_2)x}\Bigg],$$

$$H_-\Psi_9^+=\lambda_1\Psi_9^+,\qquad H_-\Psi_{10}^+=\lambda_2\Psi_{10}^+, \tag{53}$$

since

$$(H_+-\lambda_1I_2)\begin{pmatrix}-\frac{x}{2k_1}e^{k_1x}+C_2\frac{x}{2k_1}e^{-k_1x}\\-C_3\frac{x}{2k_1}e^{k_1x}+C_4\frac{x}{2k_1}e^{-k_1x}\end{pmatrix}=\Phi_1^+(x),$$
$$(H_+-\lambda_2I_2)\begin{pmatrix}-C_5\frac{x}{2k_2}e^{k_2x}+C_6\frac{x}{2k_2}e^{-k_2x}\\-C_7\frac{x}{2k_2}e^{k_2x}+C_8\frac{x}{2k_2}e^{-k_2x}\end{pmatrix}=\Phi_2^+(x),$$

the vector-functions $\Phi_1^+(x)$ and $\Phi_2^+(x)$ belong to the kernel of Q_1^- and a chain of associated vector-functions of the Hamiltonian H_+ is mapped (see Subsection 2.2) by the intertwining operator Q_1^- into a chain of associated vector-functions of the Hamiltonian H_- for the same spectral value (some first terms of the chain can be mapped by Q_1^- into zeroes).

Analysis of the vector-functions (51) and (53) leads to the following results:

(1) if

$$\operatorname{Re}k_1\operatorname{Re}k_2>0,\qquad (C_7-C_3C_5)(C_2C_8-C_4C_6)\neq0$$

then for each of the eigenvalues λ_1 and λ_2 there is the only (up to a constant factor) normalizable vector-eigenfunction of the Hamiltonian H_-:

$$\Psi_{11}^+(x)=\Psi_1^+(x)+C_3\Psi_3^+(x)=-C_2\Psi_2^+(x)-C_4\Psi_4^+(x)=\frac{1}{W(x)}$$
$$\times\left[\begin{pmatrix}k_2\Delta_1C_5-k_1(\delta_1C_5-2C_2C_7)\\k_2\Delta_1C_7+k_1(\delta_1C_7-2C_3C_4C_5)\end{pmatrix}e^{k_2x}-\begin{pmatrix}k_2\Delta_1C_6+k_1(\delta_1C_6-2C_2C_8)\\k_2\Delta_1C_8-k_1(\delta_1C_8-2C_3C_4C_6)\end{pmatrix}e^{-k_2x}\right],$$
$$\Psi_{12}^+(x)=C_5\Psi_5^+(x)+C_7\Psi_7^+(x)=-C_6\Psi_6^+(x)-C_8\Psi_8^+(x)=\frac{1}{W(x)}$$
$$\times\left[-\begin{pmatrix}k_1\Delta_2-k_2(\delta_2-2C_3C_5C_6)\\k_1\Delta_2C_3+k_2(\delta_2C_3-2C_7C_8)\end{pmatrix}e^{k_1x}+\begin{pmatrix}k_1\Delta_2C_2+k_2(\delta_2C_2-2C_4C_5C_6)\\k_1\Delta_2C_4-k_2(\delta_2C_4-2C_2C_7C_8)\end{pmatrix}e^{-k_1x}\right],$$

$$H_-\Psi_{11}^+=\lambda_1\Psi_{11}^+,\qquad H_-\Psi_{12}^+=\lambda_2\Psi_{12}^+,\qquad \Psi_{11}^+(x),\Psi_{12}^+(x)\in\ker Q_3^+; \tag{54}$$

(2) if

$$\operatorname{Re}k_1>\operatorname{Re}k_2>0,\quad C_7-C_3C_5=C_5(C_4-C_2C_3)=0,\quad (C_8-C_3C_6)(C_2C_8-C_4C_6)\neq0,$$

or

$$\operatorname{Re}k_1>2\operatorname{Re}k_2>0,\qquad C_7-C_3C_5=0,\qquad (C_8-C_3C_6)(C_2C_8-C_4C_6)\neq0$$

or

$$\operatorname{Re}k_1>\operatorname{Re}k_2>0,\quad C_2C_8-C_4C_6=C_4-C_2C_3=0,\quad (C_7-C_3C_5)(C_2C_7-C_4C_5)\neq0,$$

or

$$\operatorname{Re}k_1>2\operatorname{Re}k_2>0,\qquad C_2C_8-C_4C_6=0,\qquad (C_7-C_3C_5)(C_2C_7-C_4C_5)\neq0$$

or

$$\operatorname{Re} k_1 > 2\operatorname{Re} k_2 > 0, \qquad C_7 - C_3C_5 = C_2C_8 - C_4C_6 = 0, \qquad (C_8 - C_3C_6)(C_2C_7 - C_4C_5) \neq 0$$

then for the eigenvalue λ_1 there is the only (up to a constant factor) normalizable vector-eigenfunction $\Psi^-_{11}(x)$ of the Hamiltonian H_- and for the spectral value λ_2 there is no a normalizable vector-eigenfunction of H_-;

(3) if

$$\operatorname{Re} k_1 > \operatorname{Re} k_2 > 0, \quad C_7 - C_3C_5 = C_8 - C_3C_6 = 0, \quad (C_2C_7 - C_4C_5)(C_2C_8 - C_4C_6) \neq 0$$

or

$$\operatorname{Re} k_1 > \operatorname{Re} k_2 > 0, \quad C_2C_7 - C_4C_5 = C_2C_8 - C_4C_6 = 0, \quad (C_7 - C_3C_5)(C_8 - C_3C_6) \neq 0$$

then for the eigenvalue λ_2 there is the only (up to a constant factor) normalizable vector-eigenfunction $\Psi^-_{12}(x)$ of the Hamiltonian H_- and for the spectral value λ_1 there is no a normalizable vector-eigenfunction of H_-;

(4) if

$$2\operatorname{Re} k_2 \geqslant \operatorname{Re} k_1 > \operatorname{Re} k_2 > 0,$$
$$C_7 - C_3C_5 = 0, \qquad C_5(C_4 - C_2C_3)(C_8 - C_3C_6)(C_2C_8 - C_4C_6) \neq 0$$

or

$$2\operatorname{Re} k_2 \geqslant \operatorname{Re} k_1 > \operatorname{Re} k_2 > 0,$$
$$C_2C_8 - C_4C_6 = 0, \qquad (C_4 - C_2C_3)(C_7 - C_3C_5)(C_2C_7 - C_4C_5) \neq 0$$

or

$$2\operatorname{Re} k_2 \geqslant \operatorname{Re} k_1 > \operatorname{Re} k_2 > 0,$$
$$C_7 - C_3C_5 = C_2C_8 - C_4C_6 = 0, \qquad (C_8 - C_3C_6)(C_2C_7 - C_4C_5) \neq 0$$

or

$$C_7 - C_3C_5 = C_8 - C_3C_6 = C_2C_7 - C_4C_5 = 0, \qquad C_2C_8 - C_4C_6 \neq 0$$

or

$$C_7 - C_3C_5 = C_8 - C_3C_6 = C_2C_8 - C_4C_6 = 0, \qquad C_2C_7 - C_4C_5 \neq 0$$

or

$$C_7 - C_3C_5 = C_2C_7 - C_4C_5 = C_2C_8 - C_4C_6 = 0, \qquad C_8 - C_3C_6 \neq 0$$

or

$$C_8 - C_3C_6 = C_2C_7 - C_4C_5 = C_2C_8 - C_4C_6 = 0, \qquad C_7 - C_3C_5 \neq 0$$

then there is no a normalizable vector-eigenfunction of the Hamiltonian H_- for the spectral values λ_1 and λ_2.

Let us now present some partial situations where the received formulae become significantly more simple.

(1) For

$$C_2 = 1, \qquad C_3 = C_4 = C_5 = C_6 = 0, \qquad C_7 = \frac{1}{4}e^{-k_2x_0}, \qquad C_8 = \frac{1}{4}e^{k_2x_0},$$

$$\operatorname{Re} k_1 \operatorname{Re} k_2 \neq 0, \qquad x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$$

the Wronskian

$$W(x) = \operatorname{ch} k_1 x \ \operatorname{ch} k_2(x - x_0)$$

does not have real zeroes, the operators Q_1^+ and Q_1^-, the matrix $U_0(x)$ and the new Hamiltonian H_- take the form,

$$Q_1^{\pm} = \mp I_2 \partial - \begin{pmatrix} k_1 \operatorname{th} k_1 x & 0 \\ 0 & k_2 \operatorname{th} k_2(x - x_0) \end{pmatrix},$$

$$U_0(x) = \begin{pmatrix} -k_1^2 & 0 \\ 0 & -k_2^2 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$H_- = -I_2 \partial^2 - 2 \begin{pmatrix} \frac{k_1^2}{\operatorname{ch}^2 k_1 x} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{k_2^2}{\operatorname{ch}^2 k_2(x - x_0)} \end{pmatrix},$$

and there are only two linearly independent vector-eigenfunctions for H_-,

$$\Psi_{11}^+(x) = \Psi_1^+(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{k_1}{\operatorname{ch} k_1 x} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \Psi_{12}^+(x) = C_7 \Psi_7^+(x) = \frac{1}{4} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{k_2}{\operatorname{ch} k_2(x - x_0)} \end{pmatrix}.$$

(2) If

$$C_4 = C_2 C_3 - \frac{1}{2C_6}, \qquad C_5 = -C_2 C_6, \qquad C_7 = \frac{1}{2} - C_2 C_3 C_6, \qquad C_8 = C_3 C_6,$$

$$\operatorname{Re}(k_1 + k_2) \neq 0, \qquad C_2, C_3, C_6 \in \mathbb{C}, \quad C_6 \neq 0,$$

then the Wronskian

$$W(x) = \operatorname{ch}(k_1 + k_2)x$$

is nonvanishing on the real axis,

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1^{\pm} &= \mp \partial - \frac{k_1 + k_2}{W(x)} \Bigg[C_6 \begin{pmatrix} C_3 & -1 \\ C_3^2 & -C_3 \end{pmatrix} e^{(k_1 - k_2)x} - \frac{1}{C_6} \begin{pmatrix} C_2 C_6 C_7 & C_2^2 C_6^2 \\ -C_7^2 & -C_2 C_6 C_7 \end{pmatrix} e^{-(k_1 - k_2)x} \\ &\qquad + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{sh}(k_1 + k_2)x \ I_2 \Bigg] - (k_1 - k_2) \begin{pmatrix} C_7 - C_2 C_3 C_6 & 2C_2 C_6 \\ 2C_3 C_7 & -(C_7 - C_2 C_3 C_6) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \mathbf{C} \left\{ \mp \partial - \frac{1}{2W(x)} \begin{pmatrix} k_1 e^{(k_1 + k_2)x} - k_2 e^{-(k_1 + k_2)x} & -C_6(k_1 + k_2) e^{(k_1 - k_2)x} \\ \frac{1}{C_6}(k_1 + k_2) e^{-(k_1 - k_2)x} & -k_1 e^{-(k_1 + k_2)x} + k_2 e^{(k_1 + k_2)x} \end{pmatrix} \right\} \mathbf{C}^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} U_0(x) &= -\frac{k_1^2 - k_2^2}{W(x)} \Bigg[C_6 \begin{pmatrix} C_3 & -1 \\ C_3^2 & -C_3 \end{pmatrix} e^{(k_1 - k_2)x} + \frac{1}{C_6} \begin{pmatrix} C_2 C_6 C_7 & C_2^2 C_6^2 \\ -C_7^2 & -C_2 C_6 C_7 \end{pmatrix} e^{-(k_1 - k_2)x} \\ &\qquad + \begin{pmatrix} C_7 - C_2 C_3 C_6 & 2C_2 C_6 \\ 2C_3 C_7 & -(C_7 - C_2 C_3 C_6) \end{pmatrix} \operatorname{sh}(k_1 + k_2)x \Bigg] - \frac{k_1^2 + k_2^2}{2} I_2 \\ &= \mathbf{C} \left\{ \frac{1}{2W(x)} \begin{pmatrix} -k_1^2 e^{(k_1 + k_2)x} - k_2^2 e^{-(k_1 + k_2)x} & C_6(k_1^2 - k_2^2) e^{(k_1 - k_2)x} \\ \frac{1}{C_6}(k_1^2 - k_2^2) e^{-(k_1 - k_2)x} & -k_1^2 e^{-(k_1 + k_2)x} - k_2^2 e^{(k_1 + k_2)x} \end{pmatrix} \right\} \mathbf{C}^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} H_- &= -I_2 \partial^2 - \frac{2(k_1 + k_2)}{W^2(x)} \Bigg[C_6 \begin{pmatrix} C_3 & -1 \\ C_3^2 & -C_3 \end{pmatrix} (k_1 e^{-2k_2 x} - k_2 e^{2k_1 x}) \\ &\qquad + \frac{1}{C_6} \begin{pmatrix} C_2 C_6 C_7 & C_2^2 C_6^2 \\ -C_7^2 & -C_2 C_6 C_7 \end{pmatrix} (k_1 e^{2k_2 x} - k_2 e^{-2k_1 x}) + \frac{k_1 + k_2}{2} I_2 \Bigg] \\ &= \mathbf{C} \left\{ -I_2 \partial^2 - \frac{k_1 + k_2}{W^2(x)} \begin{pmatrix} k_1 + k_2 & C_6(k_1 e^{-2k_2 x} - k_2 e^{2k_1 x}) \\ \frac{1}{C_6}(k_1 e^{2k_2 x} - k_2 e^{-2k_1 x}) & k_1 + k_2 \end{pmatrix} \right\} \mathbf{C}^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\Phi_1^-(x)=\mathbf{C}\begin{pmatrix}e^{k_1x}\\ -\frac{1}{C_6}e^{-k_1x}\end{pmatrix},\qquad \Phi_2^-(x)=\mathbf{C}\begin{pmatrix}C_6e^{-k_2x}\\ e^{k_2x}\end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mathbf{C}=\begin{pmatrix}1 & -C_2C_6\\ C_3 & \frac{1}{2}-C_2C_3C_6\end{pmatrix},\qquad \mathbf{C}^{-1}=\begin{pmatrix}1-2C_2C_3C_6 & 2C_2C_6\\ -2C_3 & 2\end{pmatrix},\qquad \det\mathbf{C}=\frac{1}{2}$$

and for the Hamiltonian H_- there are only two linearly independent normalizable vector-eigenfunctions,

$$\Psi_{11}^+(x)=\frac{k_1+k_2}{2W(x)}\left[\frac{1}{C_6}\begin{pmatrix}C_2C_6\\ -C_7\end{pmatrix}e^{k_2x}+\begin{pmatrix}1\\ C_3\end{pmatrix}e^{-k_2x}\right]=\mathbf{C}\left\{\frac{k_1+k_2}{2}\begin{pmatrix}\frac{e^{-k_2x}}{\operatorname{ch}(k_1+k_2)x}\\ -\frac{1}{C_6}\frac{e^{k_2x}}{\operatorname{ch}(k_1+k_2)x}\end{pmatrix}\right\},$$

$$\Psi_{12}^+(x)=\frac{k_1+k_2}{2W(x)}\left[C_6\begin{pmatrix}1\\ C_3\end{pmatrix}e^{k_1x}-\begin{pmatrix}C_2C_6\\ -C_7\end{pmatrix}e^{-k_1x}\right]=\mathbf{C}\left\{\frac{k_1+k_2}{2}\begin{pmatrix}C_6\frac{e^{k_1x}}{\operatorname{ch}(k_1+k_2)x}\\ \frac{e^{-k_1x}}{\operatorname{ch}(k_1+k_2)x}\end{pmatrix}\right\},$$

$$\operatorname{Re}k_1\operatorname{Re}k_2>0,$$

or the only (up to a constant factor) normalizable vector-eigenfunction $\Psi_{11}^+(x)$ for

$$\operatorname{Re}k_1\operatorname{Re}k_2\leqslant 0,\qquad |\operatorname{Re}k_1|>2|\operatorname{Re}k_2|$$

or the only (up to a constant factor) normalizable vector-eigenfunction $\Psi_{12}^+(x)$ for

$$\operatorname{Re}k_1\operatorname{Re}k_2\leqslant 0,\qquad |\operatorname{Re}k_2|>2|\operatorname{Re}k_1|$$

or there is no a normalizable vector-eigenfunction for

$$\operatorname{Re}k_1\operatorname{Re}k_2\leqslant 0,\qquad 4|\operatorname{Re}k_2|\geqslant 2|\operatorname{Re}k_1|\geqslant|\operatorname{Re}k_2|.$$

It is evident here and in the what follows below in this Subsection 5.1 that the representations and the intertwining (50) transform trivially into the analogous formulae for the Hamiltonians $\mathbf{C}^{-1}H_+\mathbf{C}=H_+=-\partial^2$ and $\mathbf{C}^{-1}H_-\mathbf{C}$, for the matrix $\mathbf{C}^{-1}U_0(x)\mathbf{C}$ and for the operators $\mathbf{C}^{-1}Q_1^+\mathbf{C}$ and $\mathbf{C}^{-1}Q_1^-\mathbf{C}$, that $\mathbf{C}^{-1}\Psi_{11}^+(x)$ and $\mathbf{C}^{-1}\Psi_{12}^+(x)$ are vector-eigenfunctions (formal sometimes) of the Hamiltonian $\mathbf{C}^{-1}H_-\mathbf{C}$ for the same eigenvalues $\lambda_1=-k_1^2$ and $\lambda_2=-k_2^2$ respectively and that $\mathbf{C}^{-1}\Phi_1^-(x)$ and $\mathbf{C}^{-1}\Phi_2^-(x)$ are transformation vector-functions corresponding to conversion of the Hamiltonian $\mathbf{C}^{-1}H_+\mathbf{C}=H_+$ to the Hamiltonian $\mathbf{C}^{-1}H_-\mathbf{C}$ with the help of the intertwining operator $\mathbf{C}^{-1}Q_1^-\mathbf{C}$.

(3) For

$$C_2=\alpha C_5,\quad C_4=\alpha\Big(\frac{1}{2}+C_3C_5\Big),\quad C_6=C_5,\quad C_7=\frac{1}{2}+C_3C_5,\quad C_8=\frac{1}{2}+C_3C_5,$$

$$\operatorname{Re}k_2\neq 0,\qquad \alpha,C_3,C_5\in\mathbb{C},$$

the Wronskian

$$W(x)=e^{k_1x}\operatorname{ch}k_2x$$

does not vanish on the real axis as well, we have

$$Q_1^\pm=\mp I_2\partial+2k_2\begin{pmatrix}C_3C_5 & -C_5\\ C_3C_7 & -C_7\end{pmatrix}\operatorname{th}k_2x+2\alpha\begin{pmatrix}C_5C_7 & -C_5^2\\ C_7^2 & -C_5C_7\end{pmatrix}\Big[k_1+k_2\operatorname{th}k_2x\Big]e^{-2k_1x}-2k_1\begin{pmatrix}C_7 & -C_5\\ C_3C_7 & -C_3C_5\end{pmatrix}$$

$$=\mathbf{C}\left\{\mp I_2\partial+\begin{pmatrix}-k_1 & 0\\ \alpha\big[k_1+k_2\operatorname{th}k_2x\big]e^{-2k_1x} & -k_2\operatorname{th}k_2x\end{pmatrix}\right\}\mathbf{C}^{-1},$$

$$U_0(x) = -(k_1^2-k_2^2)\left[2\alpha\begin{pmatrix} C_5C_7 & -C_5^2 \\ C_7^2 & -C_5C_7\end{pmatrix}e^{-2k_1x}+\begin{pmatrix} C_7+C_3C_5 & -2C_5 \\ 2C_3C_7 & -(C_7+C_3C_5)\end{pmatrix}\right]-\frac{k_1^2+k_2^2}{2}\,I_2$$
$$= \mathbf{C}\begin{pmatrix} -k_1^2 & 0 \\ -\alpha(k_1^2-k_2^2)e^{-2k_1x} & -k_2^2\end{pmatrix}\mathbf{C}^{-1},$$

$$H_- = -I_2\partial^2+4\left\{\begin{pmatrix} C_3C_5 & -C_5 \\ C_3C_7 & -C_7\end{pmatrix}\frac{k_2^2}{\mathrm{ch}^{\,2}k_2x}+\alpha\begin{pmatrix} C_5C_7 & -C_5^2 \\ C_7^2 & -C_5C_7\end{pmatrix}\left[\frac{k_2^2}{\mathrm{ch}^{\,2}k_2x}-2k_1^2-2k_1k_2\,\mathrm{th}\,k_2x\right]e^{-2k_1x}\right\}$$
$$= \mathbf{C}\left\{-I_2\partial^2+\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \alpha\big[\frac{2k_2^2}{\mathrm{ch}^{\,2}k_2x}-4k_1^2-4k_1k_2\,\mathrm{th}\,k_2x\big]e^{-2k_1x} & -\frac{2k_2^2}{\mathrm{ch}^{\,2}k_2x}\end{pmatrix}\right\}\mathbf{C}^{-1},$$

$$\Phi_1^-(x) = \mathbf{C}\begin{pmatrix} e^{k_1x} \\ \alpha e^{-k_1x}\end{pmatrix}, \qquad \Phi_2^-(x) = \mathbf{C}\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 2\,\mathrm{ch}\,k_2x\end{pmatrix},$$

$$\Psi_{11}^+(x) = \alpha\begin{pmatrix} C_5 \\ C_7\end{pmatrix}\big[k_1+k_2\,\mathrm{th}\,k_2x\big]e^{-k_1x} = \mathbf{C}\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \alpha[k_1+k_2\,\mathrm{th}\,k_2x]e^{-k_1x}\end{pmatrix},$$
$$\Psi_{12}^+(x) = \begin{pmatrix} C_5 \\ C_7\end{pmatrix}\frac{k_2}{\mathrm{ch}\,k_2x} = \mathbf{C}\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{k_2}{\mathrm{ch}\,k_2x}\end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mathbf{C} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & C_5 \\ C_3 & \frac{1}{2}+C_3C_5\end{pmatrix}, \qquad \mathbf{C}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1+2C_3C_5 & -2C_5 \\ -2C_3 & 2\end{pmatrix}, \qquad \det\mathbf{C} = \frac{1}{2}$$

and for the new Hamiltonian H_- there is the only (up to a constant factor) normalizable vector-eigenfunction $\Psi_{12}^+(x)$.

(4) If

$$C_2 = 0, \qquad C_4 = 0, \qquad C_7 = 1+C_3C_5, \qquad C_8 = C_3C_6, \qquad C_3, C_5, C_6 \in \mathbb{C},$$

then the Wronskian

$$W(x) = e^{(k_1+k_2)x}$$

is without real zeroes again,

$$Q_1^{\pm} = \mp I_2\partial-(k_1+k_2)C_6\begin{pmatrix} C_3 & -1 \\ C_3^2 & -C_3\end{pmatrix}e^{-2k_2x}-\frac{k_1-k_2}{2}\begin{pmatrix} C_7+C_3C_5 & -2C_5 \\ 2C_3C_7 & -(C_7+C_3C_5)\end{pmatrix}-\frac{k_1+k_2}{2}I_2$$
$$= \mathbf{C}\left\{\mp I_2\partial+\begin{pmatrix} -k_1 & (k_1+k_2)C_6e^{-2k_2x} \\ 0 & -k_2\end{pmatrix}\right\}\mathbf{C}^{-1},$$
$$U_0(x) = -(k_1^2-k_2^2)C_6\begin{pmatrix} C_3 & -1 \\ C_3^2 & -C_3\end{pmatrix}e^{-2k_2x}-\frac{k_1^2-k_2^2}{2}\begin{pmatrix} C_7+C_3C_5 & -2C_5 \\ 2C_3C_7 & -(C_7+C_3C_5)\end{pmatrix}-\frac{k_1^2+k_2^2}{2}I_2$$
$$= \mathbf{C}\begin{pmatrix} -k_1^2 & (k_1^2-k_2^2)C_6e^{-2k_2x} \\ 0 & -k_2^2\end{pmatrix}\mathbf{C}^{-1},$$
$$H_- = -I_2\partial^2+4k_2(k_1+k_2)C_6\begin{pmatrix} C_3 & -1 \\ C_3^2 & -C_3\end{pmatrix}e^{-2k_2x}$$
$$= \mathbf{C}\left\{-I_2\partial^2+\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -4k_2(k_1+k_2)C_6e^{-2k_2x} \\ 0 & 0\end{pmatrix}\right\}\mathbf{C}^{-1},$$

$$\Phi_1^-(x)=\mathbf{C}\begin{pmatrix}e^{k_1x}\\0\end{pmatrix},\qquad \Phi_2^-(x)=\mathbf{C}\begin{pmatrix}C_6e^{-k_2x}\\e^{k_2x}\end{pmatrix},$$

$$\Psi_{11}^+(x)=\begin{pmatrix}0\\0\end{pmatrix}=\mathbf{C}\begin{pmatrix}0\\0\end{pmatrix},\quad \Psi_{12}^+(x)=(k_1+k_2)C_6\begin{pmatrix}1\\C_3\end{pmatrix}e^{-k_2x}=\mathbf{C}\begin{pmatrix}(k_1+k_2)C_6e^{-k_2x}\\0\end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mathbf{C}=\begin{pmatrix}1&C_5\\C_3&1+C_3C_5\end{pmatrix},\qquad \mathbf{C}^{-1}=\begin{pmatrix}1+C_3C_5&-C_5\\-C_3&1\end{pmatrix},\qquad \det\mathbf{C}=1$$

and for the Hamiltonian H_- there is no a normalizable vector-eigenfunction.

In general, the formulae (45)–(49) and (54) can be simplified with the help of similarity transformation for $\Delta_1\neq 0\Leftrightarrow C_4\neq C_2C_3$ as follows,

$$\mathbf{C}^{-1}\Phi_1^-(x)=\begin{pmatrix}e^{k_1x}\\e^{-k_1x}\end{pmatrix},\qquad \mathbf{C}^{-1}\Phi_2^-(x)=\begin{pmatrix}\tilde C_5e^{k_2x}+\tilde C_6e^{-k_2x}\\\tilde C_7e^{k_2x}+\tilde C_8e^{-k_2x}\end{pmatrix},$$

$$\tilde W(x)=\tilde C_7e^{(k_1+k_2)x}+\tilde C_8e^{(k_1-k_2)x}-\tilde C_5e^{-(k_1-k_5)x}-\tilde C_6e^{-(k_1+k_2)x}=\frac{1}{\Delta_1}W(x),$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{C}^{-1}Q_1^{\pm}\mathbf{C}=\mp I_2\partial-\begin{pmatrix}k_1&0\\0&-k_1\end{pmatrix}-\frac{1}{\tilde W(x)}\Bigg[&(k_1+k_2)\begin{pmatrix}\tilde C_6e^{-(k_1+k_2)x}&-\tilde C_6e^{(k_1-k_2)x}\\-\tilde C_7e^{-(k_1-k_2)x}&\tilde C_7e^{(k_1+k_2)x}\end{pmatrix}\\&+(k_1-k_2)\begin{pmatrix}\tilde C_5e^{-(k_1-k_2)x}&-\tilde C_5e^{(k_1+k_2)x}\\-\tilde C_8e^{-(k_1+k_2)x}&\tilde C_8e^{(k_1-k_2)x}\end{pmatrix}\Bigg],\end{aligned}$$

$$\mathbf{C}^{-1}U_0(x)\mathbf{C}=-k_1^2I_2-\frac{(k_1^2-k_2^2)}{\tilde W(x)}\begin{pmatrix}\tilde C_5e^{-(k_1-k_2)x}+\tilde C_6e^{-(k_1+k_2)x}&-\tilde C_5e^{(k_1+k_2)x}-\tilde C_6e^{(k_1-k_2)x}\\\tilde C_7e^{-(k_1-k_2)x}+\tilde C_8e^{-(k_1+k_2)x}&-\tilde C_7e^{(k_1+k_2)x}-\tilde C_8e^{(k_1-k_2)x}\end{pmatrix},$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{C}^{-1}H_-\mathbf{C}=-I_2\partial^2-\frac{4}{\tilde W^2(x)}\Bigg[&2k_2^2\begin{pmatrix}\tilde C_5\tilde C_6e^{-2k_1x}&-\tilde C_5\tilde C_6\\-\tilde C_7\tilde C_8&\tilde C_7\tilde C_8e^{2k_1x}\end{pmatrix}\\&+k_2[(k_1-k_2)\tilde C_5\tilde C_8-(k_1+k_2)\tilde C_6\tilde C_7]\begin{pmatrix}1&-e^{2k_1x}\\-e^{-2k_1x}&1\end{pmatrix}\\&+k_1k_2\begin{pmatrix}[\tilde C_5e^{k_2x}-\tilde C_6e^{-k_2x}][\tilde C_7e^{k_2x}+\tilde C_8e^{-k_2x}]&-[\tilde C_5e^{k_2x}+\tilde C_6e^{-k_2x}][\tilde C_5e^{k_2x}-\tilde C_6e^{-k_2x}]\\[\tilde C_7e^{k_2x}-\tilde C_8e^{-k_2x}][\tilde C_7e^{k_2x}+\tilde C_8e^{-k_2x}]&-[\tilde C_5e^{k_2x}+\tilde C_6e^{-k_2x}][\tilde C_7e^{k_2x}-\tilde C_8e^{-k_2x}]\end{pmatrix}\\&-k_1^2\begin{pmatrix}[\tilde C_5e^{k_2x}+\tilde C_6e^{-k_2x}][\tilde C_7e^{k_2x}+\tilde C_8e^{-k_2x}]&-[\tilde C_5e^{k_2x}+\tilde C_6e^{-k_2x}]^2\\-[\tilde C_7e^{k_2x}+\tilde C_8e^{-k_2x}]^2&[\tilde C_5e^{k_2x}+\tilde C_6e^{-k_2x}][\tilde C_7e^{k_2x}+\tilde C_8e^{-k_2x}]\end{pmatrix}\Bigg],\end{aligned}$$

$$\mathbf{C}^{-1}\Psi_{11}^+(x)=\frac{1}{\tilde W(x)}\Bigg[-\begin{pmatrix}(k_1-k_2)\tilde C_5\\-(k_1+k_2)\tilde C_7\end{pmatrix}e^{k_2x}-\begin{pmatrix}(k_1+k_2)\tilde C_6\\-(k_1-k_2)\tilde C_8\end{pmatrix}e^{-k_2x}\Bigg],$$

$$\mathbf{C}^{-1}\Psi_{12}^+(x)=\frac{1}{\tilde W(x)}\Bigg[\begin{pmatrix}(k_1+k_2)\tilde C_6\tilde C_7-(k_1-k_2)\tilde C_5\tilde C_8\\2k_2\tilde C_7\tilde C_8\end{pmatrix}e^{k_1x}-\begin{pmatrix}2k_2\tilde C_5\tilde C_6\\(k_1+k_2)\tilde C_6\tilde C_7-(k_1-k_2)\tilde C_5\tilde C_8\end{pmatrix}e^{-k_1x}\Bigg],$$

$$\mathbf{C}=\begin{pmatrix}1&C_2\\C_3&C_4\end{pmatrix},\qquad \mathbf{C}^{-1}=\frac{1}{\Delta_1}\begin{pmatrix}C_4&-C_2\\-C_3&1\end{pmatrix},\qquad \det\mathbf{C}=\Delta_1,$$

$$\tilde C_5=-\frac{C_2C_7-C_4C_5}{\Delta_1},\quad \tilde C_6=-\frac{C_2C_8-C_4C_6}{\Delta_1},\quad \tilde C_7=\frac{C_7-C_3C_5}{\Delta_1},\quad \tilde C_8=\frac{C_8-C_3C_6}{\Delta_1}$$

and for $\Delta_1 = 0 \Leftrightarrow C_4 = C_2C_3$ as follows,

$$\mathbf{C}^{-1}\Phi_1^-(x) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{k_1x} + C_2e^{-k_1x} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \mathbf{C}^{-1}\Phi_2^-(x) = \begin{pmatrix} C_5e^{k_2x} + C_6e^{-k_2x} \\ \tilde{C}_7e^{k_2x} + \tilde{C}_8e^{-k_2x} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\tilde{W}(x) = \left[e^{k_1x} + C_2e^{-k_1x}\right]\left[\tilde{C}_7e^{k_2x} + \tilde{C}_8e^{-k_2x}\right] = -\frac{1}{\alpha}W(x),$$

$$\mathbf{C}^{-1}Q_1^{\pm}\mathbf{C} = \mp I_2\partial - \begin{pmatrix} k_1\frac{e^{k_1x}-C_2e^{-k_1x}}{e^{k_1x}+C_2e^{-k_1x}} & k_2\frac{C_5e^{k_2x}-C_6e^{-k_2x}}{\tilde{C}_7e^{k_2x}+\tilde{C}_8e^{-k_2x}} - k_1\frac{[e^{k_1x}-C_2e^{-k_1x}][C_5e^{k_2x}+C_6e^{-k_2x}]}{[e^{k_1x}+C_2e^{-k_1x}][\tilde{C}_7e^{k_2x}+\tilde{C}_8e^{-k_2x}]} \\ 0 & k_2\frac{\tilde{C}_7e^{k_2x}-\tilde{C}_8e^{-k_2x}}{\tilde{C}_7e^{k_2x}+\tilde{C}_8e^{-k_2x}} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mathbf{C}^{-1}U_0(x)\mathbf{C} = -\begin{pmatrix} k_1^2 & -(k_1^2-k_2^2)\frac{C_5e^{k_2x}+C_6e^{-k_2x}}{\tilde{C}_7e^{k_2x}+\tilde{C}_8e^{-k_2x}} \\ 0 & k_2^2 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mathbf{C}^{-1}H_-\mathbf{C} = -I_2\partial^2 - \begin{pmatrix} \frac{8k_1^2C_2}{[e^{k_1x}+C_2e^{-k_1x}]^2} & -\frac{8k_1^2C_2[C_5e^{k_2x}+C_6e^{-k_2x}]}{[e^{k_1x}+C_2e^{-k_1x}]^2[\tilde{C}_7e^{k_2x}+\tilde{C}_8e^{-k_2x}]} \\ 0 & \frac{8k_2^2\tilde{C}_7\tilde{C}_8}{[\tilde{C}_7e^{k_2x}+\tilde{C}_8e^{-k_2x}]^2} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$+ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{4k_1k_2(C_5\tilde{C}_8-C_6\tilde{C}_7)[e^{k_1x}-C_2e^{-k_1x}]}{[e^{k_1x}+C_2e^{-k_1x}][\tilde{C}_7e^{k_2x}+\tilde{C}_8e^{-k_2x}]^2} - \frac{4k_2^2(C_5\tilde{C}_8+C_6\tilde{C}_7)}{[\tilde{C}_7e^{k_2x}+\tilde{C}_8e^{-k_2x}]^2} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mathbf{C}^{-1}\Psi_{11}^+(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2k_1C_2}{e^{k_1x}+C_2e^{-k_1x}} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{C}^{-1}\Psi_{12}^+(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{k_2(C_5\tilde{C}_8+C_6\tilde{C}_7)}{\tilde{C}_7e^{k_2x}+\tilde{C}_8e^{-k_2x}} - \frac{k_1(C_5\tilde{C}_8-C_6\tilde{C}_7)[e^{k_1x}-C_2e^{-k_1x}]}{[e^{k_1x}+C_2e^{-k_1x}][\tilde{C}_7e^{k_2x}+\tilde{C}_8e^{-k_2x}]} \\ \frac{2k_2\tilde{C}_7\tilde{C}_8}{\tilde{C}_7e^{k_2x}+\tilde{C}_8e^{-k_2x}} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mathbf{C} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ C_3 & -\alpha \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \mathbf{C}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \frac{C_3}{\alpha} & -\frac{1}{\alpha} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \det\mathbf{C} = -\alpha, \qquad \alpha \in \mathbb{C},\ \alpha \neq 0,$$

$$\tilde{C}_7 = -\frac{1}{\alpha}(C_7 - C_3C_5), \qquad \tilde{C}_8 = -\frac{1}{\alpha}(C_8 - C_3C_6),$$

where $\tilde{W}(x)$ is the Wronskian of $\mathbf{C}^{-1}\Phi_1^-(x)$ and $\mathbf{C}^{-1}\Phi_2^-(x)$.

5.2 Subcase $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$, $g_1^- = 2$: adding up to two bound states described by vector-eigenfunctions with the same energy value

In this subcase the formulae (45) – (54) are still valid with $k_1 = k_2$ and we additionally to the condition $C_1 = 1$ assume without the loss of generality that $C_5 = 0$, since the latter condition can be achieved in any case by the change of a canonical basis in the kernel of Q_1^-: $\Phi_1^-(x)$ and $\Phi_2^-(x) - C_5\Phi_1^-(x)$ instead of $\Phi_1^-(x)$ and $\Phi_2^-(x)$. Thus, the formulae (45) – (54) take in the considered subcase the following more simple form:

$$\Phi_1^-(x) = \begin{pmatrix} C_1e^{kx} + C_2e^{-kx} \\ C_3e^{kx} + C_4e^{-kx} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Phi_2^-(x) = \begin{pmatrix} C_5e^{kx} + C_6e^{-kx} \\ C_7e^{kx} + C_8e^{-kx} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad C_1 = 1, \quad C_5 = 0,$$

$$H_+\Phi_i^- = \lambda\Phi_i^-, \quad i = 1,2, \qquad \lambda = \lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = -k^2 \neq 0, \qquad k = k_1 = k_2, \tag{55}$$

$$W(x) = C_7e^{2kx} + [C_8 - C_3C_6 + C_2C_7] + [C_2C_8 - C_4C_6]e^{-2kx}, \tag{56}$$

$$Q_1^{\pm} = \mp I_2\partial - \frac{k}{W(x)}\left\{\left[C_7e^{2kx} - (C_2C_8 - C_4C_6)e^{-2kx}\right]I_2 \right.$$
$$\left. + \begin{pmatrix} (C_8 + C_3C_6 - C_2C_7) & -2C_6 \\ 2(C_3C_8 - C_4C_7) & -(C_8 + C_3C_6 - C_2C_7) \end{pmatrix}\right\}, \tag{57}$$

$$U_0(x) = -k^2 I_2, \tag{58}$$

$$\begin{aligned} H_- = -I_2\partial^2 - \frac{8k^2}{W^2(x)}\Bigg[& C_7 \begin{pmatrix} C_2C_7 - C_3C_6 & C_6 \\ -(C_3C_8 - C_4C_7) & C_8 \end{pmatrix} e^{2kx} \\ & + (C_2C_8 - C_4C_6) \begin{pmatrix} C_8 & -C_6 \\ C_3C_8 - C_4C_7 & C_2C_7 - C_3C_6 \end{pmatrix} e^{-2kx} \\ & + 2C_7(C_2C_8 - C_4C_6) I_2 \Bigg], \end{aligned} \tag{59}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_1^+(x) = \Psi_5^+(x) &= Q_1^- \begin{pmatrix} e^{kx} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{2k}{W(x)} \Bigg[\begin{pmatrix} C_2C_7 - C_3C_6 \\ -(C_3C_8 - C_4C_7) \end{pmatrix} e^{kx} + (C_2C_8 - C_4C_6) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} e^{-kx} \Bigg], \\ \Psi_2^+(x) = \Psi_6^+(x) &= Q_1^- \begin{pmatrix} e^{-kx} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{2k}{W(x)} \Bigg[- C_7 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} e^{kx} - \begin{pmatrix} C_8 \\ C_3C_8 - C_4C_7 \end{pmatrix} e^{-kx} \Bigg], \\ \Psi_3^+(x) = \Psi_7^+(x) &= Q_1^- \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ e^{kx} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{2k}{W(x)} \Bigg[\begin{pmatrix} C_6 \\ C_8 \end{pmatrix} e^{kx} + (C_2C_8 - C_4C_6) \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} e^{-kx} \Bigg], \\ \Psi_4^+(x) = \Psi_8^+(x) &= Q_1^- \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ e^{-kx} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{2k}{W(x)} \Bigg[- C_7 \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} e^{kx} - \begin{pmatrix} -C_6 \\ C_2C_7 - C_3C_6 \end{pmatrix} e^{-kx} \Bigg], \end{aligned} \tag{60}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_9^+(x) = Q_1^- \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{x}{2k}e^{kx} + C_2\frac{x}{2k}e^{-kx} \\ -C_3\frac{x}{2k}e^{kx} + C_4\frac{x}{2k}e^{-kx} \end{pmatrix} &= -\frac{1}{2kW(x)} \Bigg[C_7 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ C_3 \end{pmatrix} e^{3kx} + 4kC_7 \begin{pmatrix} C_2 \\ C_4 \end{pmatrix} xe^{kx} \\ & + \begin{pmatrix} C_8 - C_3C_6 \\ C_3(C_8 - C_3C_6) - C_7(C_4 - C_2C_3) \end{pmatrix} e^{kx} - \begin{pmatrix} C_2^2C_7 + C_6(C_4 - C_2C_3) \\ C_2C_4C_7 + C_8(C_4 - C_2C_3) \end{pmatrix} e^{-kx} \\ & + 4k(C_2C_8 - C_4C_6) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ C_3 \end{pmatrix} xe^{-kx} - (C_2C_8 - C_4C_6) \begin{pmatrix} C_2 \\ C_4 \end{pmatrix} e^{-3kx} \Bigg], \\ \Psi_{10}^+(x) = Q_1^- \begin{pmatrix} C_6\frac{x}{2k}e^{-kx} \\ -C_7\frac{x}{2k}e^{kx} + C_8\frac{x}{2k}e^{-kx} \end{pmatrix} &= -\frac{1}{2kW(x)} \Bigg[C_7 \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ C_7 \end{pmatrix} e^{3kx} + 4kC_7 \begin{pmatrix} C_6 \\ C_8 \end{pmatrix} xe^{kx} \\ & - C_7 \begin{pmatrix} C_6 \\ C_3C_6 - C_2C_7 \end{pmatrix} e^{kx} - \begin{pmatrix} C_6(C_8 - C_3C_6) + C_2C_6C_7 \\ C_8(C_8 - C_3C_6) + C_4C_6C_7 \end{pmatrix} e^{-kx} \\ & + 4k(C_2C_8 - C_4C_6) \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ C_7 \end{pmatrix} xe^{-kx} - (C_2C_8 - C_4C_6) \begin{pmatrix} C_6 \\ C_8 \end{pmatrix} e^{-3kx} \Bigg], \end{aligned} \tag{61}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{11}^+(x) = \Psi_1^+(x) + C_3\Psi_3^+(x) &= -C_2\Psi_2^+(x) - C_4\Psi_4^+(x) \\ &= \frac{2k}{W(x)} \Bigg[C_7 \begin{pmatrix} C_2 \\ C_4 \end{pmatrix} e^{kx} + (C_2C_8 - C_4C_6) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ C_3 \end{pmatrix} e^{-kx} \Bigg], \\ \Psi_{12}^+(x) = C_5\Psi_5^+(x) + C_7\Psi_7^+(x) &= -C_6\Psi_6^+(x) - C_8\Psi_8^+(x) \\ &= C_7\frac{2k}{W(x)} \Bigg[\begin{pmatrix} C_6 \\ C_8 \end{pmatrix} e^{kx} + (C_2C_8 - C_4C_6) \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} e^{-kx} \Bigg], \end{aligned}$$

$$H_-\Psi_i^+ = \lambda\Psi_i^+, \quad i = 1, \ldots, 12, \tag{62}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_1^+(x) + C_2\Psi_2^+(x) + C_3\Psi_3^+(x) + C_4\Psi_4^+(x) = 0, \\ C_6\Psi_2^+(x) + C_7\Psi_3^+(x) + C_8\Psi_4^+(x) = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{63}$$

where the constants C_2, C_3, C_4, C_6, C_7 and C_8 are chosen so that the Wronskian (56) does not have real zeroes. Moreover, the relations (50) in accordance with the results of Section 3 can be supplemented by the additional intertwining relation with the operator Q_1^+ as follows,

$$H_+ = Q_1^+ Q_1^- + U_0(x), \;\; H_- = Q_1^- Q_1^+ + U_0(x), \quad Q_1^- H_+ = H_- Q_1^-, \;\; Q_1^+ H_- = H_+ Q_1^+. \tag{64}$$

There are two only linearly independent vector-functions in the set $\Psi_1^+(x)$, $\Psi_2^+(x)$, $\Psi_3^+(x)$ and $\Psi_4^+(x)$ in view of the fact that the vector-functions $\Phi_1^-(x)$ and $\Phi_2^-(x)$ (see (55)) form a canonical basis in the kernel of Q_1^-. The corresponding relations between the vector-functions $\Psi_1^+(x)$, $\Psi_2^+(x)$, $\Psi_3^+(x)$ and $\Psi_4^+(x)$ are expressed by the formulae (63). It is nod hard to check that two linearly independent of these vector-functions form a canonical basis in the kernel of the intertwining operator Q_1^+ and that these two vector-functions draw up together with the vector-functions $\Psi_9^+(x)$ and $\Psi_{10}^+(x)$ a complete set of linearly independent formal vector-eigenfunctions of the Hamiltonian H_- for the spectral value λ. In addition, the vector-functions $\Psi_{11}^+(x)$ and $\Psi_{12}^+(x)$ as a linear combinations of $\Psi_1^+(x)$, $\Psi_2^+(x)$, $\Psi_3^+(x)$ and $\Psi_4^+(x)$ belong to the kernel of Q_1^+.

Analysis of the vector-functions (60), (61) and (62) leads to the following results:

(1) if

$$\operatorname{Re} k \neq 0, \qquad C_7(C_2C_8 - C_4C_6) \neq 0$$

then for the eigenvalue λ there are only two linearly independent normalizable vector-eigenfunctions of the Hamiltonian H_-:

$$\Psi_1^+(x), \quad \Psi_3^+(x) \qquad \text{or} \qquad \Psi_2^+(x), \quad \Psi_4^+(x) \qquad \text{or} \qquad \Psi_{11}^+(x), \quad \Psi_{12}^+(x);$$

(2) if

$$\operatorname{Re} k \neq 0, \qquad C_7 = 0, \qquad (C_8 - C_3C_6 + C_2C_7)(C_2C_8 - C_4C_6) \neq 0$$

or

$$\operatorname{Re} k \neq 0, \qquad C_2C_8 - C_4C_6 = 0, \qquad (|C_2| + |C_4|)C_7(C_8 - C_3C_6 + C_2C_7) \neq 0$$

then for the eigenvalue λ there is the only (up to a constant factor) normalizable vector-eigenfunction $\Psi_{11}^+(x)$ of the Hamiltonian H_-;

(3) if

$$\operatorname{Re} k \neq 0, \qquad C_2 = C_4 = 0, \qquad C_7(C_8 - C_3C_6) \neq 0$$

then for the eigenvalue λ there is the only (up to a constant factor) normalizable vector-eigenfunction $\Psi_{12}^+(x)$ of the Hamiltonian H_-;

(4) if

$$C_7 = C_2C_8 - C_4C_6 = 0, \qquad C_8 - C_3C_6 + C_2C_7 \neq 0$$

or

$$C_7 = C_8 - C_3C_6 + C_2C_7 = 0, \qquad C_2C_8 - C_4C_6 \neq 0$$

or

$$C_8 - C_3C_6 + C_2C_7 = C_2C_8 - C_4C_6 = 0, \qquad C_7 \neq 0$$

then for the spectral value λ there is no a normalizable vector-eigenfunction of the Hamiltonian H_-.

It follows from (57) in view of (4) that the potential of the new Hamiltonian H_- can be reduced with the help of a similarity transformation produced by a constant nondegenerate 2×2 matrix either to a diagonal form or to a upper triangular form with equal diagonal elements. Let us consider these situations more detailedly.

If the determinant of the matrix from the last term of (57) is nonzero,

$$4C_6(C_3C_8-C_4C_7)-(C_8+C_3C_6-C_2C_7)^2\neq 0, \tag{65}$$

then the formulae (55) – (59) and (62) for $C_6\neq 0$ can be simplified as follows,

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde\Phi_1^-(x)&=\mathbf{C}^{-1}\left\{\Phi_1^-(x)+\frac{1}{C_6}\left[\frac{2(C_2C_8-C_4C_6)}{C_8+C_2C_7-C_3C_6-\Delta}-C_2\right]\Phi_2^-(x)\right\}=\begin{pmatrix}e^{kx}+\tilde C_2e^{-kx}\\0\end{pmatrix},\\
\tilde\Phi_2^-(x)&=\mathbf{C}^{-1}\left[\frac{\Delta-C_8+C_2C_7+C_3C_6}{2\Delta}\Phi_2^-(x)-\frac{C_6C_7}{\Delta}\Phi_1^-(x)\right]=\begin{pmatrix}0\\C_7e^{kx}+\tilde C_8e^{-kx}\end{pmatrix},\\
\tilde W(x)&=\left[e^{kx}+\tilde C_2e^{-kx}\right]\left[C_7e^{kx}+\tilde C_8e^{-kx}\right]=W(x),\\
\mathbf{C}^{-1}Q_1^{\pm}\mathbf{C}&=\mp I_2\partial-k\begin{pmatrix}\frac{e^{kx}-\tilde C_2e^{-kx}}{e^{kx}+\tilde C_2e^{-kx}}&0\\0&\frac{C_7e^{kx}-\tilde C_8e^{-kx}}{C_7e^{kx}+\tilde C_8e^{-kx}}\end{pmatrix},\\
\mathbf{C}^{-1}U_0(x)\mathbf{C}&=-k^2I_2,\\
\mathbf{C}^{-1}H_-\mathbf{C}&=-I_2\partial^2-\begin{pmatrix}\frac{8k^2\tilde C_2}{[e^{kx}+\tilde C_2e^{-kx}]^2}&0\\0&\frac{8k^2C_7\tilde C_8}{[C_7e^{kx}+\tilde C_8e^{-kx}]^2}\end{pmatrix},
\end{aligned}\tag{66}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde\Psi_1^+(x)&=\frac{1}{2k\tilde C_2}\mathbf{C}^{-1}\left\{\Psi_{11}^+(x)+\frac{1}{C_6}\left[\frac{2(C_2C_8-C_4C_6)}{C_8+C_2C_7-C_3C_6-\Delta}-C_2\right]\Psi_{12}^+(x)\right\}=\begin{pmatrix}\frac{1}{e^{kx}+\tilde C_2e^{-kx}}\\0\end{pmatrix},\\
\tilde\Psi_2^+(x)&=\frac{1}{2kC_7\tilde C_8}\mathbf{C}^{-1}\left[\frac{\Delta-C_8+C_2C_7+C_3C_6}{2\Delta}\Psi_{12}^+(x)-\frac{C_6C_7}{\Delta}\Psi_{11}^+(x)\right]=\begin{pmatrix}0\\\frac{1}{C_7e^{kx}+\tilde C_8e^{-kx}}\end{pmatrix},\\
\mathbf{C}&=\begin{pmatrix}1&0\\\frac{C_3C_8-C_4C_7}{C_8-C_2C_7}&1\end{pmatrix},\qquad \mathbf{C}^{-1}=\begin{pmatrix}1&0\\-\frac{C_3C_8-C_4C_7}{C_8-C_2C_7}&1\end{pmatrix},\qquad \det\mathbf{C}=1,\\
\tilde C_2&=\frac{2(C_2C_8-C_4C_6)}{C_8+C_2C_7-C_3C_6-\Delta},\qquad \tilde C_8=\frac{1}{2}\left[C_8+C_2C_7-C_3C_6-\Delta\right],
\end{aligned}$$

$$\Delta=\sqrt{(C_8+C_3C_6-C_2C_7)^2-4C_6(C_3C_8-C_4C_7)}, \tag{67}$$

and for $C_6=0$ as follows,

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde\Phi_1^-(x)&=\mathbf{C}^{-1}\left[\Phi_1^-(x)-\frac{C_4-C_2C_3}{C_8-C_2C_7}\Phi_2^-(x)\right]=\begin{pmatrix}e^{kx}+C_2e^{-kx}\\0\end{pmatrix},\\
\tilde\Phi_2^-(x)&=\mathbf{C}^{-1}\Phi_2^-(x)=\begin{pmatrix}0\\C_7e^{kx}+C_8e^{-kx}\end{pmatrix},\\
\tilde W(x)&=\left[e^{kx}+C_2e^{-kx}\right]\left[C_7e^{kx}+C_8e^{-kx}\right]=W(x),\\
\mathbf{C}^{-1}Q_1^{\pm}\mathbf{C}&=\mp I_2\partial-k\begin{pmatrix}\frac{e^{kx}-C_2e^{-kx}}{e^{kx}+C_2e^{-kx}}&0\\0&\frac{C_7e^{kx}-C_8e^{-kx}}{C_7e^{kx}+C_8e^{-kx}}\end{pmatrix},\\
\mathbf{C}^{-1}U_0(x)\mathbf{C}&=-k^2I_2,\\
\mathbf{C}^{-1}H_-\mathbf{C}&=-I_2\partial^2-\begin{pmatrix}\frac{8k^2C_2}{[e^{kx}+C_2e^{-kx}]^2}&0\\0&\frac{8k^2C_7C_8}{[C_7e^{kx}+C_8e^{-kx}]^2}\end{pmatrix},
\end{aligned}\tag{68}$$

$$\tilde{\Psi}_1^+(x) = \frac{1}{2kC_2}\mathbf{C}^{-1}\left[\Psi_{11}^+(x) - \frac{C_4 - C_2C_3}{C_8 - C_2C_7}\Psi_{12}^+(x)\right] = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{e^{kx}+C_2e^{-kx}} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\tilde{\Psi}_2^+(x) = \frac{1}{2kC_7C_8}\mathbf{C}^{-1}\Psi_{12}^+(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{1}{C_7e^{kx}+C_8e^{-kx}} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mathbf{C} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \frac{C_3C_8-C_4C_7}{C_8-C_2C_7} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \mathbf{C}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -\frac{C_3C_8-C_4C_7}{C_8-C_2C_7} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \det\mathbf{C} = 1,$$

where $\tilde{W}(x)$ is the Wronskian of $\tilde{\Phi}_1^-(x)$ and $\tilde{\Phi}_2^-(x)$ and the root (67) has arbitrary value such that $C_8+C_2C_7-C_3C_6-\Delta \neq 0$ (this condition can be satisfied due to (65)). It is evident here and in the what follows below in this Subsection 5.2 that the representations and the intertwinings (64) transform trivially into the analogous formulae for the Hamiltonians $\mathbf{C}^{-1}H_+\mathbf{C} = H_+ = -\partial^2$ and $\mathbf{C}^{-1}H_-\mathbf{C}$, for the matrix $\mathbf{C}^{-1}U_0(x)\mathbf{C}$ and for the intertwining operators $\mathbf{C}^{-1}Q_1^+\mathbf{C}$ and $\mathbf{C}^{-1}Q_1^-\mathbf{C}$, that $\tilde{\Psi}_1^+(x)$ and $\tilde{\Psi}_2^+(x)$ are vector-eigenfunctions (formal sometimes) of the Hamiltonian $\mathbf{C}^{-1}H_-\mathbf{C}$ for the same eigenvalue $\lambda = -k^2$ and that $\tilde{\Phi}_1^-(x)$ and $\tilde{\Phi}_2^-(x)$ are transformation vector-functions corresponding to conversion of the Hamiltonian $\mathbf{C}^{-1}H_+\mathbf{C} = H_+$ to the Hamiltonian $\mathbf{C}^{-1}H_-\mathbf{C}$ with the help of the intertwining operator $\mathbf{C}^{-1}Q_1^-\mathbf{C}$. It follows from (66) and (68) that any of two diagonal elements of the potential of the reduced Hamiltonian (66) or (68) is ether zero or the potential of Pöschl – Teller.

For

$$4C_6(C_3C_8 - C_4C_7) - (C_8 + C_3C_6 - C_2C_7)^2 = 0, \qquad |C_6| + |C_3C_8 - C_4C_7| \neq 0 \qquad (69)$$

the formulae (55) – (59) and (62) convert into the following,

$$\tilde{\Phi}_1^-(x) = \mathbf{C}^{-1}\left[\left(\sqrt{C_6} + \frac{\sqrt{C_7}\sqrt{C_2C_8 - C_4C_6}\sqrt{C_3C_8 - C_4C_7}^*}{|C_6| + |C_3C_8 - C_4C_7|}\right)\Phi_1^-(x)\right.$$
$$\left. - \frac{C_2\sqrt{C_6}^* + C_4\sqrt{C_3C_8 - C_4C_7}^*}{|C_6| + |C_3C_8 - C_4C_7|}\Phi_2^-(x)\right] = \begin{pmatrix} e^{kx} \\ -\sqrt{-\tilde{C}_4}\sqrt{\tilde{C}_7}e^{kx} + \tilde{C}_4e^{-kx} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\tilde{\Phi}_2^-(x) = \mathbf{C}^{-1}\left[\frac{\sqrt{C_6}^* + C_3\sqrt{C_3C_8 - C_4C_7}^*}{|C_6| + |C_3C_8 - C_4C_7|}\Phi_2^-(x) - \frac{C_7\sqrt{C_3C_8 - C_4C_7}^*}{|C_6| + |C_3C_8 - C_4C_7|}\Phi_1^-(x)\right]$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix} e^{-kx} \\ \tilde{C}_7e^{kx} + \sqrt{-\tilde{C}_4}\sqrt{\tilde{C}_7}\,e^{-kx} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\tilde{W}(x) = \left[\sqrt{\tilde{C}_7}e^{kx} + \sqrt{-\tilde{C}_4}\,e^{-kx}\right]^2 = \frac{1}{\alpha}W(x),$$

$$\mathbf{C}^{-1}Q_1^\pm\mathbf{C} = \mp I_2\partial - k\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\sqrt{\tilde{C}_7}e^{kx} - \sqrt{-\tilde{C}_4}\,e^{-kx}}{\sqrt{\tilde{C}_7}e^{kx} + \sqrt{-\tilde{C}_4}\,e^{-kx}} & -\frac{2}{\left[\sqrt{\tilde{C}_7}e^{kx} + \sqrt{-\tilde{C}_4}\,e^{-kx}\right]^2} \\ 0 & \frac{\sqrt{\tilde{C}_7}e^{kx} - \sqrt{-\tilde{C}_4}\,e^{-kx}}{\sqrt{\tilde{C}_7}e^{kx} + \sqrt{-\tilde{C}_4}\,e^{-kx}} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mathbf{C}^{-1}U_0(x)\mathbf{C} = -k^2I_2,$$

$$\mathbf{C}^{-1}H_-\mathbf{C} = -I_2\partial^2 - 8k^2\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\sqrt{\tilde{C}_7}\sqrt{-\tilde{C}_4}}{\left[\sqrt{\tilde{C}_7}e^{kx} + \sqrt{-\tilde{C}_4}\,e^{-kx}\right]^2} & \frac{\sqrt{\tilde{C}_7}e^{kx} - \sqrt{-\tilde{C}_4}\,e^{-kx}}{\left[\sqrt{\tilde{C}_7}e^{kx} + \sqrt{-\tilde{C}_4}\,e^{-kx}\right]^3} \\ 0 & \frac{\sqrt{\tilde{C}_7}\sqrt{-\tilde{C}_4}}{\left[\sqrt{\tilde{C}_7}e^{kx} + \sqrt{-\tilde{C}_4}\,e^{-kx}\right]^2} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\tilde\Psi_1^+(x)=\frac{\sqrt{\alpha}}{2k\sqrt{C_7}\sqrt{C_2C_8-C_4C_6}}\,\mathbf{C}^{-1}\Big[\sqrt{C_6}\sqrt{C_7}\Psi_{11}^+(x)+\sqrt{C_2C_3-C_4}\Psi_{12}^+(x)\Big]$$
$$=\begin{pmatrix}\frac{1}{\sqrt{\tilde C_7}e^{kx}+\sqrt{-\tilde C_4}e^{-kx}}\\ 0\end{pmatrix},$$
$$\tilde\Psi_2^+(x)=\frac{\sqrt{\alpha}}{2k\sqrt{C_7}\sqrt{C_2C_8-C_4C_6}}$$
$$\times\,\mathbf{C}^{-1}\Big[\Big(\sqrt{C_2C_3-C_4}+2\sqrt{C_7}\frac{C_2\sqrt{C_6}^*+C_4\sqrt{C_3C_8-C_4C_7}^*}{|C_6|+|C_3C_8-C_4C_7|}\Big)\Psi_{12}^+(x)$$
$$-\Big(\sqrt{C_6}+2\sqrt{C_7}\frac{\sqrt{C_2C_8-C_4C_6}\sqrt{C_3C_8-C_4C_7}^*}{|C_6|+|C_3C_8-C_4C_7|}\Big)\Psi_{11}^+(x)\Big]=\begin{pmatrix}\frac{\sqrt{\tilde C_7}e^{kx}-\sqrt{-\tilde C_4}e^{-kx}}{\left[\sqrt{\tilde C_7}e^{kx}+\sqrt{-\tilde C_4}e^{-kx}\right]^2}\\ \frac{2\sqrt{\tilde C_7}\sqrt{-\tilde C_4}}{\sqrt{\tilde C_7}e^{kx}+\sqrt{-\tilde C_4}e^{-kx}}\end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mathbf{C}=\begin{pmatrix}\sqrt{C_6} & -\frac{\alpha\sqrt{C_3C_8-C_4C_7}^*}{|C_6|+|C_3C_8-C_4C_7|}\\ \sqrt{C_3C_8-C_4C_7} & \frac{\alpha\sqrt{C_6}^*}{|C_6|+|C_3C_8-C_4C_7|}\end{pmatrix},\quad \mathbf{C}^{-1}=\begin{pmatrix}\frac{\sqrt{C_6}^*}{|C_6|+|C_3C_8-C_4C_7|} & \frac{\sqrt{C_3C_8-C_4C_7}^*}{|C_6|+|C_3C_8-C_4C_7|}\\ -\frac{\sqrt{C_3C_8-C_4C_7}}{\alpha} & \frac{\sqrt{C_6}}{\alpha}\end{pmatrix},$$
$$\det\mathbf{C}=\alpha,\qquad \alpha\in\mathbb{C},\quad \alpha\neq 0,$$
$$\tilde C_4=-\frac{1}{\alpha}(C_2C_8-C_4C_6),\qquad \tilde C_7=\frac{1}{\alpha}C_7,$$

where $*$ denotes complex conjugation, the roots $\sqrt{C_6}$, $\sqrt{C_7}$, $\sqrt{C_2C_8-C_4C_6}$, $\sqrt{C_3C_8-C_4C_7}$, $\sqrt{C_2C_3-C_4}$, $\sqrt{\tilde C_7}$, $\sqrt{-\tilde C_4}$ and $\sqrt{\alpha}$ have arbitrary values satisfying the following conditions,

$$\sqrt{C_6}\sqrt{C_3C_8-C_4C_7}=\frac{1}{2}\big[C_8+C_3C_6-C_2C_7\big],$$
$$\sqrt{C_7}\sqrt{C_2C_8-C_4C_6}=\frac{1}{2}\big[C_8-C_3C_6+C_2C_7\big],$$
$$\sqrt{\tilde C_7}=\frac{\sqrt{C_7}}{\sqrt{\alpha}},\qquad \sqrt{-\tilde C_4}=\frac{\sqrt{C_2C_8-C_4C_6}}{\sqrt{\alpha}},$$
$$C_8=C_2C_7+C_3C_6+2\sqrt{C_6}\sqrt{C_7}\sqrt{C_2C_3-C_4},\tag{70}$$

the roots $\sqrt{C_2C_8-C_4C_6}$ and $\sqrt{C_3C_8-C_4C_7}$ as well as C_8 (see (70)) can be expressed through the constants C_2, C_3, C_4, C_6 and C_7,

$$\sqrt{C_2C_8-C_4C_6}=C_2\sqrt{C_7}+\sqrt{C_6}\sqrt{C_2C_3-C_4},$$
$$\sqrt{C_3C_8-C_4C_7}=C_3\sqrt{C_6}+\sqrt{C_7}\sqrt{C_2C_3-C_4}$$

and $\tilde W(x)$ is the Wronskian of $\tilde\Phi_1^-(x)$ and $\tilde\Phi_2^-(x)$. The possibility to define the roots $\sqrt{C_6}$, $\sqrt{C_7}$, $\sqrt{C_2C_8-C_4C_6}$, $\sqrt{C_3C_8-C_4C_7}$ and $\sqrt{C_2C_3-C_4}$ so that the relations (70) hold is provided by the first of the conditions (69).

At last, if

$$4C_6(C_3C_8-C_4C_7)-(C_8+C_3C_6-C_2C_7)^2=0,\qquad C_6=C_3C_8-C_4C_7=0$$

then

$$W(x)=C_7[e^{kx}+C_2e^{-kx}]^2\quad\Rightarrow\quad C_7\neq 0,$$
$$Q_1^\pm=\mp I_2\partial-k\frac{e^{kx}-C_2e^{-kx}}{e^{kx}+C_2e^{-kx}}I_2,$$

$$U_0(x) = -k^2 I_2,$$
$$H_- = -I_2\partial^2 - \frac{8k^2 C_2}{[e^{kx} + C_2 e^{-kx}]^2} I_2, \tag{71}$$

$$\Phi_1^-(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ C_3 \end{pmatrix} [e^{kx} + C_2 e^{-kx}], \qquad \Phi_2^-(x) = C_7 \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} [e^{kx} + C_2 e^{-kx}],$$

$$\Psi_{11}^+(x) = 2kC_2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ C_3 \end{pmatrix} \frac{1}{e^{kx} + C_2 e^{-kx}}, \qquad \Psi_{12}^+(x) = 2kC_2 C_7 \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \frac{1}{e^{kx} + C_2 e^{-kx}}$$

and it is possible to use the vector-functions

$$\tilde{\Phi}_1^-(x) = \Phi_1^-(x) - \frac{C_3}{C_7}\Phi_2^-(x) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{kx} + C_2 e^{-kx} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \tilde{\Phi}_2^-(x) = \frac{1}{C_7}\Phi_2^-(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ e^{kx} + C_2 e^{-kx} \end{pmatrix}$$

as transformation vector-functions instead of $\Phi_1^-(x)$ and $\Phi_2^-(x)$ and the vector-functions

$$\tilde{\Psi}_1^+(x) = \frac{1}{2kC_2}\left[\Psi_{11}^+(x) - \frac{C_3}{C_7}\Psi_{12}^+(x)\right] = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{e^{kx} + C_2 e^{-kx}} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ \tilde{\Psi}_2^+(x) = \frac{1}{2kC_2 C_7}\Psi_{12}^+(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{1}{e^{kx} + C_2 e^{-kx}} \end{pmatrix}$$

as vector-eigenfunctions (formal for $C_2 = 0$ and normalizable for $C_2 \neq 0$) instead of $\Psi_{11}^+(x)$ and $\Psi_{12}^+(x)$. One can see that both diagonal elements of the potential of the new Hamiltonian (71) are either zeroes for $C_2 = 0$ or the identical potentials of Pöschl – Teller for $C_2 \neq 0$.

5.3 Subcase $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$, $g_1^- = 1$: adding up to two bound states described by eigen- and associated vector-functions with the same energy value

In this subcase general form of transformation vector-functions $\Phi_1^-(x)$ and $\Phi_2^-(x)$ is the following in view of (44),

$$\Phi_1^-(x) = \begin{pmatrix} -C_1 \frac{x}{2k} e^{kx} + C_2 \frac{x}{2k} e^{-kx} + C_5 e^{kx} + C_6 e^{-kx} \\ -C_3 \frac{x}{2k} e^{kx} + C_4 \frac{x}{2k} e^{-kx} + C_7 e^{kx} + C_8 e^{-kx} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \Phi_2^-(x) = \begin{pmatrix} C_1 e^{kx} + C_2 e^{-kx} \\ C_3 e^{kx} + C_4 e^{-kx} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$H_+\Phi_1^- = \lambda\Phi_1^- + \Phi_2^-, \quad H_+\Phi_2^- = \lambda\Phi_2^-, \qquad \lambda = -k^2 \neq 0, \tag{72}$$

where $C_1, \ldots, C_8$ are arbitrary complex, in general, constants and we assume without the loss of generality that $C_1 = 1$ and $C_5 = 0$ (the latter condition can be achieved in any case by the change of a canonical basis in the kernel of Q_1^-: $\Phi_1^-(x) - C_5\Phi_2^-(x)$ and $\Phi_2^-(x)$ instead of $\Phi_1^-(x)$ and $\Phi_2^-(x)$). The remaining constants C_2, C_3, C_4, C_6, C_7 and C_8 are chosen so that the Wronskian $W(x)$ of the vector-functions $\Phi_1^-(x)$ and $\Phi_2^-(x)$,

$$W(x) = -C_7 e^{2kx} - [C_2 C_8 - C_4 C_6]e^{-2kx} - \frac{1}{k}[C_4 - C_2 C_3]x - [C_8 + C_2 C_7 - C_3 C_6], \tag{73}$$

does not vanish on the real axis. The operators Q_1^- and Q_1^+, the matrix $U_0(x)$ and the new Hamiltonian H_- take the following form,

$$Q_1^\pm = \mp I_2\partial + \frac{1}{W(x)}\Bigg\{\Big[kC_7 e^{2kx} - k\Delta_{28}e^{-2kx} + \frac{1}{2k}\Delta_1\Big]I_2 + \frac{1}{2k}M_1 e^{2kx} - \frac{1}{2k}M_2 e^{-2kx} + M_3 x + kM_4\Bigg\}, \tag{74}$$

$$U_0(x) = -k^2 I_2 + \frac{1}{W(x)} \begin{pmatrix} [e^{kx}+C_2e^{-kx}][C_3e^{kx}+C_4e^{-kx}] & -[e^{kx}+C_2e^{-kx}]^2 \\ [C_3e^{kx}+C_4e^{-kx}]^2 & -[e^{kx}+C_2e^{-kx}][C_3e^{kx}+C_4e^{-kx}] \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\equiv -k^2 I_2 + \frac{1}{W(x)} \Big[M_1 e^{2kx} + M_2 e^{-2kx} + M_3 \Big], \tag{75}$$

$$\begin{aligned} H_- = -I_2\partial^2 + \frac{2}{W^2(x)} \Big\{ \Big[& -2k[\Delta_1 x + k(C_8+\Delta_{27})][C_7e^{2kx} + \Delta_{28}e^{-2kx}] \\ & + 2\Delta_1[C_7e^{2kx} - \Delta_{28}e^{-2kx}] - 8k^2C_7\Delta_{28} + \frac{1}{2k^2}\Delta_1^2 \Big] I_2 \\ & - \Big[\frac{1}{k}\Delta_1 x e^{2kx} + [C_8 + \Delta_{27} - \frac{1}{2k^2}\Delta_1]e^{2kx} + 4\Delta_{28} \Big] M_1 \\ & - \Big[\frac{1}{k}\Delta_1 x e^{-2kx} + [C_8 + \Delta_{27} + \frac{1}{2k^2}\Delta_1]e^{-2kx} + 4C_7 \Big] M_2 \\ & + \Big[2kx[C_7e^{2kx} - \Delta_{28}e^{-2kx}] - [C_7e^{2kx} + \Delta_{28}e^{-2kx}] \Big] M_3 + 2k^2 \Big[C_7e^{2kx} - \Delta_{28}e^{-2kx} \Big] M_4 \Big\}, \end{aligned} \tag{76}$$

$$M_1 = \begin{pmatrix} C_3 & -1 \\ C_3^2 & -C_3 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad M_2 = \begin{pmatrix} C_2C_4 & -C_2^2 \\ C_4^2 & -C_2C_4 \end{pmatrix},$$
$$M_3 = \begin{pmatrix} C_4 + C_2C_3 & -2C_2 \\ 2C_3C_4 & -C_4 - C_2C_3 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad M_4 = \begin{pmatrix} C_8 - \Delta_{27} & -2C_6 \\ 2\Delta_{38} & -[C_8 - \Delta_{27}] \end{pmatrix},$$
$$\Delta_1 = C_4 - C_2C_3, \quad \Delta_{27} = C_2C_7 - C_3C_6, \quad \Delta_{28} = C_2C_8 - C_4C_6, \quad \Delta_{38} = C_3C_8 - C_4C_7,$$
$$2\Delta_{28}M_1 + 2C_7M_2 - (C_8 + \Delta_{27})M_3 + \Delta_1 M_4 = 0,$$

so that

$$H_+ = Q_1^+Q_1^- + U_0(x), \qquad H_- = Q_1^-Q_1^+ + U_0(x), \qquad Q_1^- H_+ = H_- Q_1^-. \tag{77}$$

For the spectral value λ of the Hamiltonian H_- one can easily construct formal vector-eigenfunctions and formal associated vector-functions of the first order

$$\Psi_{1,0}^+(x) = Q_1^- \begin{pmatrix} e^{kx} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2kW(x)} \Big[C_3 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ C_3 \end{pmatrix} e^{3kx} + 4kC_3 \begin{pmatrix} C_2 \\ C_4 \end{pmatrix} xe^{kx} - \begin{pmatrix} 4k^2\Delta_{27} - \Delta_1 \\ -4k^2\Delta_{38} \end{pmatrix} e^{kx} - \begin{pmatrix} 4k^2\Delta_{28} + C_2C_4 \\ C_4^2 \end{pmatrix} e^{-kx} \Big],$$

$$\Psi_{1,1}^+(x) = Q_1^- \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{xe^{kx}}{2k} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{4k^2W(x)} \Big[-\begin{pmatrix} C_3x - 2kC_7 \\ C_3^2x \end{pmatrix} e^{3kx} + \begin{pmatrix} (4k^2\Delta_{28} + C_2C_4)x + 2k\Delta_{28} \\ C_4^2x \end{pmatrix} e^{-kx} - \begin{pmatrix} 4kC_2C_3x^2 - (\Delta_1 + 4k^2\Delta_{27})x - 2k(C_8 + \Delta_{27}) \\ 4kC_3C_4x^2 + 4k^2\Delta_{38}x \end{pmatrix} e^{kx} \Big],$$

$$\Psi_{2,0}^+(x) = Q_1^- \begin{pmatrix} e^{-kx} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2kW(x)} \Big[-C_4 \begin{pmatrix} C_2 \\ C_4 \end{pmatrix} e^{-3kx} + 4kC_4 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ C_3 \end{pmatrix} xe^{-kx} + \begin{pmatrix} 4k^2C_8 + \Delta_1 \\ 4k^2\Delta_{38} \end{pmatrix} e^{-kx} + \begin{pmatrix} 4k^2C_7 + C_3 \\ C_3^2 \end{pmatrix} e^{kx} \Big],$$

$$\Psi_{2,1}^+(x) = Q_1^- \begin{pmatrix} \frac{xe^{-kx}}{2k} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{4k^2W(x)} \Big[-\begin{pmatrix} C_2C_4x + 2k\Delta_{28} \\ C_4^2x \end{pmatrix} e^{-3x} + \begin{pmatrix} (4k^2C_7 + C_3)x - 2kC_7 \\ C_3^2x \end{pmatrix} e^{kx} + \begin{pmatrix} 4kC_4x^2 - (\Delta_1 - 4k^2C_8)x - 2k(C_8 + \Delta_{27}) \\ 4kC_3C_4x^2 + 4k^2\Delta_{38}x \end{pmatrix} e^{-kx} \Big],$$

$$\Psi^+_{3,0}(x)=Q_1^-\begin{pmatrix}0\\e^{kx}\end{pmatrix}=\frac{1}{2kW(x)}\Big[-\begin{pmatrix}1\\C_3\end{pmatrix}e^{3kx}-4k\begin{pmatrix}C_2\\C_4\end{pmatrix}xe^{kx}-\begin{pmatrix}4k^2C_6\\4k^2C_8-\Delta_1\end{pmatrix}e^{kx}$$
$$+\begin{pmatrix}C_2^2\\-4k^2\Delta_{28}+C_2C_4\end{pmatrix}e^{-kx}\Big],$$
$$\Psi^+_{3,1}(x)=Q_1^-\begin{pmatrix}0\\-\frac{xe^{kx}}{2k}\end{pmatrix}=\frac{1}{4k^2W(x)}\Big[\begin{pmatrix}x\\C_3x+2kC_7\end{pmatrix}e^{3kx}-\begin{pmatrix}C_2^2x\\-(4k^2\Delta_{28}-C_2C_4)x-2k\Delta_{28}\end{pmatrix}e^{-kx}$$
$$+\begin{pmatrix}4kC_2x^2+4k^2C_6x\\4kC_4x^2+(\Delta_1+4k^2C_8)x+2k(C_8+\Delta_{27})\end{pmatrix}e^{kx}\Big],$$
$$\Psi^+_{4,0}(x)=Q_1^-\begin{pmatrix}0\\e^{-kx}\end{pmatrix}=\frac{1}{2kW(x)}\Big[C_2\begin{pmatrix}C_2\\C_4\end{pmatrix}e^{-3kx}-4kC_2\begin{pmatrix}1\\C_3\end{pmatrix}xe^{-kx}-\begin{pmatrix}4k^2C_6\\-4k^2\Delta_{27}-\Delta_1\end{pmatrix}e^{-kx}$$
$$-\begin{pmatrix}1\\-4k^2C_7+C_3\end{pmatrix}e^{kx}\Big],$$
$$\Psi^+_{4,1}(x)=Q_1^-\begin{pmatrix}0\\\frac{xe^{-kx}}{2k}\end{pmatrix}=\frac{1}{4k^2W(x)}\Big[\begin{pmatrix}C_2^2x\\C_2C_4x-2k\Delta_{28}\end{pmatrix}e^{-3kx}-\begin{pmatrix}x\\-(4k^2C_7-C_3)x+2kC_7\end{pmatrix}e^{kx}$$
$$-\begin{pmatrix}4kC_2x^2+4k^2C_6x\\4kC_2C_3x^2+(\Delta_1-4k^2\Delta_{27})x+2k(C_8+\Delta_{27})\end{pmatrix}e^{-kx}\Big],$$

$$H_-\Psi^+_{i,0}=\lambda\Psi^+_{i,0},\qquad (H_--\lambda I_2)\Psi^+_{i,1}=\Psi^+_{i,0},\qquad\qquad i=1,2,3,4,\tag{78}$$

only six of which are linearly independent in view of the fact that the vector-functions $\Phi_1^-(x)$ and $\Phi_2^-(x)$ (see (72)) form a canonical basis in the kernel of Q_1^-. The latter leads to the relations

$$\Psi^+_{1,0}(x)+C_2\Psi^+_{2,0}(x)+C_3\Psi^+_{3,0}(x)+C_4\Psi^+_{4,0}(x)=0,$$
$$\Psi^+_{1,1}(x)+C_2\Psi^+_{2,1}(x)+C_3\Psi^+_{3,1}(x)+C_4\Psi^+_{4,1}(x)+C_6\Psi^+_{2,0}(x)+C_7\Psi^+_{3,0}(x)+C_8\Psi^+_{4,0}(x)=0.\tag{79}$$

It follows from the results of [23] that in the considered subcase $\lambda_1=\lambda_2$, $g_1^-=1$ there is linear differential operator of the 3-rd order Q_3^+ with the coefficient I_2 at ∂^3 that intertwines the Hamiltonians H_+ and H_- in the opposite direction, $Q_3^+H_-=H_+Q_3^+$, and six linearly independent vector-functions from the set (78) form a canonical basis in the kernel of Q_3^+ providing an opportunity to construct Q_3^+ explicitly with the help of (41).

A linearly independent of (78) formal vector-eigenfunction $\Psi^+_{5,0}(x)$ of the Hamiltonian H_- for the spectral value λ can be found in the form

$$\Psi^+_{5,0}(x)=Q_1^-\begin{pmatrix}\frac{1}{8k^2}(x^2-\frac{x}{k})e^{kx}+\frac{C_2}{8k^2}(x^2+\frac{x}{k})e^{-kx}+\frac{C_6}{2k}(x+\frac{1}{2k})e^{-kx}\\\frac{C_3}{8k^2}(x^2-\frac{x}{k})e^{kx}+\frac{C_4}{8k^2}(x^2+\frac{x}{k})e^{-kx}-\frac{C_7}{2k}(x-\frac{1}{2k})e^{kx}+\frac{C_8}{2k}(x+\frac{1}{2k})e^{-kx}\end{pmatrix}$$
$$=\frac{1}{2kW(x)}\Big[C_7\begin{pmatrix}0\\C_7\end{pmatrix}e^{3kx}+\begin{pmatrix}2C_2C_7-\frac{\Delta_1}{2k^2}\\2C_4C_7-C_3\frac{\Delta_1}{2k^2}\end{pmatrix}x^2e^{kx}+\begin{pmatrix}4kC_6C_7-\frac{C_8+\Delta_{27}}{k}\\4kC_7C_8-C_3\frac{C_8+\Delta_{27}}{k}\end{pmatrix}xe^{kx}$$
$$-C_7\begin{pmatrix}C_6\\-\Delta_{27}\end{pmatrix}e^{kx}-\begin{pmatrix}C_6(C_8+\Delta_{27})\\C_8^2-C_6\Delta_{38}\end{pmatrix}e^{-kx}+\begin{pmatrix}-C_2\frac{C_8+\Delta_{27}}{k}\\4kC_7\Delta_{28}-C_4\frac{C_8+\Delta_{27}}{k}\end{pmatrix}xe^{-kx}$$
$$-\begin{pmatrix}2\Delta_{28}+C_2\frac{\Delta_1}{2k^2}\\2C_3\Delta_{28}+C_4\frac{\Delta_1}{2k^2}\end{pmatrix}x^2e^{-kx}-\Delta_{28}\begin{pmatrix}C_6\\C_8\end{pmatrix}e^{-3kx}\Big],$$

$$H_-\Psi^+_{5,0}=\lambda\Psi^+_{5,0},\tag{80}$$

since

$$(H_+ - \lambda I_2)\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{8k^2}(x^2-\frac{x}{k})e^{kx} + \frac{C_2}{8k^2}(x^2+\frac{x}{k})e^{-kx} + \frac{C_6}{2k}(x+\frac{1}{2k})e^{-kx} \\ \frac{C_3}{8k^2}(x^2-\frac{x}{k})e^{kx} + \frac{C_4}{8k^2}(x^2+\frac{x}{k})e^{-kx} - \frac{C_7}{2k}(x-\frac{1}{2k})e^{kx} + \frac{C_8}{2k}(x+\frac{1}{2k})e^{-kx} \end{pmatrix} = \Phi_1^-(x),$$

the vector-function $\Phi_1^-(x)$ belongs to the kernel of Q_1^- and a chain of formal associated vector-functions of the Hamiltonian H_+ is mapped (see Subsection 2.2) by the operator Q_1^- into a chain of formal associated vector-functions of the Hamiltonian H_- (some first terms of the chain can be mapped by Q_1^- into zeroes).

Analysis of the vector-functions (78) and (80) leads to the following results:

(1) if

$$\operatorname{Re} k \neq 0, \qquad C_7(C_2C_8 - C_4C_6) \neq 0$$

then for the eigenvalue λ of the Hamiltonian H_- there is the only (up to a constant factor) normalizable vector-eigenfunction $\Psi_{6,0}^+(x)$ and the only (up to a constant factor and up to adding of a vector-function proportional to $\Psi_{6,0}^+(x)$) associated vector-function of the first order $\Psi_{6,1}^+(x)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi_{6,0}^+(x) &= \Psi_{1,0}^+(x) + C_3\Psi_{3,0}^+(x) = -C_2\Psi_{2,0}^+(x) - C_4\Psi_{4,0}^+(x) \\
&= \frac{2k}{W(x)}\left[\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\Delta_1}{4k^2} - C_2C_7 \\ C_3\frac{\Delta_1}{4k^2} - C_4C_7 \end{pmatrix} e^{kx} - \begin{pmatrix} C_2\frac{\Delta_1}{4k^2} + \Delta_{28} \\ C_4\frac{\Delta_1}{4k^2} + C_3\Delta_{28} \end{pmatrix} e^{-kx}\right], \\
\Psi_{6,1}^+(x) &= \Psi_{1,1}^+(x) + C_3\Psi_{3,1}^+(x) + C_7\Psi_{3,0}^+(x) \\
&= -C_2\Psi_{2,1}^+(x) - C_4\Psi_{4,1}^+(x) - C_6\Psi_{2,0}^+(x) - C_8\Psi_{4,0}^+(x) \\
&= \frac{1}{W(x)}\left[\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\Delta_1}{4k^2} - C_2C_7 \\ C_3\frac{\Delta_1}{4k^2} - C_4C_7 \end{pmatrix} xe^{kx} + \begin{pmatrix} \frac{C_8+\Delta_{27}}{2k} - 2kC_6C_7 \\ \frac{C_3C_8+C_4C_7-C_3^2C_6}{2k} - 2kC_7C_8 \end{pmatrix} e^{kx}\right. \\
&\qquad \left. + \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\Delta_{28}+C_2^2C_7}{2k} \\ \frac{C_3\Delta_{28}+C_2C_4C_7}{2k} - 2kC_7\Delta_{28} \end{pmatrix} e^{-kx} + \begin{pmatrix} C_2\frac{\Delta_1}{4k^2} + \Delta_{28} \\ C_4\frac{\Delta_1}{4k^2} + C_3\Delta_{28} \end{pmatrix} xe^{-kx}\right],
\end{aligned}$$

$$H_-\Psi_{6,0}^+ = \lambda\Psi_{6,0}^+, \qquad (H_- - \lambda I_2)\Psi_{6,1}^+ = \Psi_{6,0}^+, \qquad \Psi_{6,0}^+(x), \Psi_{6,1}^+(x) \in \ker Q_3^+; \tag{81}$$

(2) if

$$\operatorname{Re} k \neq 0, \qquad C_7 = C_4 - C_2C_3 = 0, \qquad (C_8 + C_2C_7 - C_3C_6)(C_2C_8 - C_4C_6) \neq 0$$

or

$$\operatorname{Re} k \neq 0, \qquad C_4 - C_2C_3 = C_2C_8 - C_4C_6 = 0, \qquad C_2C_7(C_8 + C_2C_7 - C_3C_6) \neq 0$$

or

$$\operatorname{Re} k = 0, \qquad C_4 - C_2C_3 \neq 0$$

then for the eigenvalue λ of the Hamiltonian H_- there is the only (up to a constant factor) normalizable vector-eigenfunction $\Psi_{6,0}^-(x)$ and there is no a normalizable associated vector-function of the first order;

(3) if

$$\operatorname{Re} k \neq 0, \qquad C_2 = C_4 = 0, \qquad C_7(C_8 - C_3C_6) \neq 0$$

then for the eigenvalue λ of the Hamiltonian H_- there is the only (up to a constant factor) normalizable vector-eigenfunction

$$\Psi^+_{6,1}(x)\Big|_{C_2=C_4=0} = \begin{pmatrix} 2kC_6C_7 - \frac{C_8-C_3C_6}{2k} \\ 2kC_7C_8 - C_3\frac{C_8-C_3C_6}{2k} \end{pmatrix} \frac{1}{C_7e^{kx}+(C_8-C_3C_6)e^{-kx}},$$

$$H_-\Psi^+_{6,1}\Big|_{C_2=C_4=0} = \lambda\Psi^+_{6,1}\Big|_{C_2=C_4=0}$$

(cf. with (81)) and there is no a normalizable associated vector-function of the first order;

(4) if

$$\operatorname{Re} k \neq 0, \qquad C_7 = 0, \qquad (C_4 - C_2C_3)(C_2C_8 - C_4C_6) \neq 0$$

or

$$\operatorname{Re} k \neq 0, \qquad C_2C_8 - C_4C_6 = 0, \qquad C_7(C_4 - C_2C_3) \neq 0$$

or

$$\operatorname{Re} k \neq 0, \qquad C_7 = C_2C_8 - C_4C_6 = 0$$

or

$$\operatorname{Re} k = 0, \qquad C_4 - C_2C_3 = 0$$

then for the eigenvalue λ of the Hamiltonian H_- there is no a normalizable vector-eigenfunction.

For $\Delta_1 \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow C_4 \neq C_2C_3$ the formulae (72) – (76) and (81) can be simplified with the help of similarity transformation as follows,

$$\tilde\Phi^-_1(x) = \mathbf{C}^{-1}\Big[\Phi^-_1(x) + \frac{C_2C_7}{\Delta_1}\Phi^-_2(x)\Big] = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{x}{2k}e^{kx} + \tilde C_6e^{-kx} \\ \frac{x}{2k}e^{-kx} + \tilde C_7e^{kx} + \tilde C_8e^{-kx} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\tilde\Phi^-_2(x) = \mathbf{C}^{-1}\Phi^-_2(x) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{kx} \\ e^{-kx} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\tilde W(x) = -\tilde C_7e^{2kx} + \tilde C_6e^{-2kx} - \frac{1}{k}[x + k\tilde C_8] = \frac{1}{\Delta_1}W(x),$$

$$\mathbf{C}^{-1}Q^\pm_1\mathbf{C} = \mp I_2\partial + \frac{k}{\tilde W(x)}\left\{\Big[\tilde C_7e^{2kx} + \tilde C_6e^{-2kx} + \frac{1}{2k^2}\Big]I_2 + 2\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2k}[x + k\tilde C_8] & -[\frac{e^{2kx}}{4k^2} + \tilde C_6] \\ -[\tilde C_7 + \frac{e^{-2kx}}{4k^2}] & -\frac{1}{2k}[x + k\tilde C_8] \end{pmatrix}\right\},$$

$$\mathbf{C}^{-1}U_0(x)\mathbf{C} = -k^2I_2 + \frac{1}{\tilde W(x)}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -e^{2kx} \\ e^{-2kx} & -1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mathbf{C}^{-1}H_-\mathbf{C} = -I_2\partial^2 + \frac{8k}{\tilde W^2(x)}\left\{[x + k\tilde C_8]\begin{pmatrix} \tilde C_6e^{-2kx} & \frac{e^{2kx}}{4k^2} \\ -\frac{e^{-2kx}}{4k^2} & -\tilde C_7e^{2kx} \end{pmatrix} - k[\tilde C_7e^{2kx} - \tilde C_6e^{-2kx}]\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{4k^2} & \tilde C_6 \\ -\tilde C_7 & -\frac{1}{4k^2} \end{pmatrix}\right.$$
$$\left. + 2k\begin{pmatrix} [\tilde C_7e^{kx} + \frac{e^{-kx}}{4k^2}][\frac{e^{kx}}{4k^2} + \tilde C_6e^{-kx}] & -[\frac{e^{kx}}{4k^2} + \tilde C_6e^{-kx}]^2 \\ -[\tilde C_7e^{kx} + \frac{e^{-kx}}{4k^2}]^2 & [\tilde C_7e^{kx} + \frac{e^{-kx}}{4k^2}][\frac{e^{kx}}{4k^2} + \tilde C_6e^{-kx}] \end{pmatrix}\right\},$$

$$\tilde\Psi^+_{1,0}(x) = \mathbf{C}^{-1}\Psi^+_{6,0}(x) = \frac{2k}{\tilde W(x)}\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{4k^2}e^{kx} + \tilde C_6e^{-kx} \\ -[\tilde C_7e^{kx} + \frac{1}{4k^2}e^{-kx}] \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\tilde\Psi^+_{1,1}(x) = \mathbf{C}^{-1}\Big[\Psi^+_{6,1}(x) - \frac{C_8 - C_2C_7 - C_3C_6}{2\Delta_1}\Psi^+_{6,0}(x)\Big]$$
$$= \frac{2k}{\tilde W(x)}\begin{pmatrix} \frac{x+k\tilde C_8}{2k}[\frac{e^{kx}}{4k^2} - \tilde C_6e^{-kx}] - \tilde C_6[\tilde C_7e^{kx} + \frac{e^{-kx}}{4k^2}] \\ \tilde C_7[\frac{e^{kx}}{4k^2} + \tilde C_6e^{-kx}] - \frac{x+k\tilde C_8}{2k}[\tilde C_7e^{kx} - \frac{e^{-kx}}{4k^2}] \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mathbf{C}=\begin{pmatrix}1 & C_2\\ C_3 & C_4\end{pmatrix},\qquad \mathbf{C}^{-1}=\frac{1}{\Delta_1}\begin{pmatrix}C_4 & -C_2\\ -C_3 & 1\end{pmatrix},\qquad \det\mathbf{C}=\Delta_1,$$

$$\tilde{C}_6=-\frac{\Delta_{28}}{\Delta_1},\qquad \tilde{C}_7=\frac{C_7}{\Delta_1},\qquad \tilde{C}_8=\frac{C_8+\Delta_{27}}{\Delta_1},$$

and if $\Delta_1=0\Leftrightarrow C_4=C_2C_3$ then the formulae (72) – (76) and (81) can be simplified as well,

$$\tilde{\Phi}_1^-(x)=\mathbf{C}^{-1}\Phi_1^-(x)=\begin{pmatrix}-\frac{x}{2k}e^{kx}+C_2\frac{x}{2k}e^{-kx}+C_6e^{-kx}\\ \tilde{C}_7e^{kx}+\tilde{C}_8e^{-kx}\end{pmatrix},$$

$$\tilde{\Phi}_2^-(x)=\mathbf{C}^{-1}\Phi_2^-(x)=\begin{pmatrix}e^{kx}+C_2e^{-kx}\\ 0\end{pmatrix},$$

$$\tilde{W}(x)=-\left[e^{kx}+C_2e^{-kx}\right]\left[\tilde{C}_7e^{kx}+\tilde{C}_8e^{-kx}\right]=-\frac{1}{\alpha}W(x),$$

$$\mathbf{C}^{-1}Q_1^{\pm}\mathbf{C}=\mp I_2\partial-\begin{pmatrix}k\frac{e^{kx}-C_2e^{-kx}}{e^{kx}+C_2e^{-kx}} & -\frac{1}{2k}\frac{e^{2kx}-C_2^2e^{-2kx}+4k(C_2x+kC_6)}{[e^{kx}+C_2e^{-kx}][\tilde{C}_7e^{kx}+\tilde{C}_8e^{-kx}]}\\ 0 & k\frac{\tilde{C}_7e^{kx}-\tilde{C}_8e^{-kx}}{\tilde{C}_7e^{kx}+\tilde{C}_8e^{-kx}}\end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mathbf{C}^{-1}U_0(x)\mathbf{C}=\begin{pmatrix}-k^2 & \frac{e^{kx}+C_2e^{-kx}}{\tilde{C}_7e^{kx}+\tilde{C}_8e^{-kx}}\\ 0 & -k^2\end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mathbf{C}^{-1}H_-\mathbf{C}=-I_2\partial^2-\begin{pmatrix}\frac{8k^2C_2}{[e^{kx}+C_2e^{-kx}]^2} & 8k\frac{[C_2x+kC_6][\tilde{C}_7e^{2kx}-C_2\tilde{C}_8e^{-2kx}]}{[e^{kx}+C_2e^{-kx}]^2[\tilde{C}_7e^{kx}+\tilde{C}_8e^{-kx}]^2}\\ 0 & \frac{8k^2\tilde{C}_7\tilde{C}_8}{[\tilde{C}_7e^{kx}+\tilde{C}_8e^{-kx}]^2}\end{pmatrix}$$
$$+\begin{pmatrix}0 & \frac{2(\tilde{C}_8+C_2\tilde{C}_7)}{[\tilde{C}_7e^{kx}+\tilde{C}_8e^{-kx}]^2}+\frac{4C_2}{[e^{kx}+C_2e^{-kx}][\tilde{C}_7e^{kx}+\tilde{C}_8e^{-kx}]}\\ 0 & 0\end{pmatrix},$$

$$\tilde{\Psi}_{1,0}^+(x)=\mathbf{C}^{-1}\Psi_{6,0}^+(x)=\begin{pmatrix}\frac{2kC_2}{e^{kx}+C_2e^{-kx}}\\ 0\end{pmatrix},$$

$$\tilde{\Psi}_{1,1}^+(x)=\mathbf{C}^{-1}\Psi_{6,1}^+(x)=\begin{pmatrix}\frac{[C_2x+kC_6][\tilde{C}_7e^{kx}-\tilde{C}_8e^{-kx}]}{[e^{kx}+C_2e^{-kx}][\tilde{C}_7e^{kx}+\tilde{C}_8e^{-kx}]}-\frac{\tilde{C}_8+C_2\tilde{C}_7}{2k[\tilde{C}_7e^{kx}+\tilde{C}_8e^{-kx}]}+\frac{kC_6}{e^{kx}+C_2e^{-kx}}\\ 2k\frac{\tilde{C}_7\tilde{C}_8}{\tilde{C}_7e^{kx}+\tilde{C}_8e^{-kx}}\end{pmatrix},$$

$$\tilde{\Psi}_{1,1}^+(x)\Big|_{C_2=C_4=0}=\mathbf{C}^{-1}\Psi_{6,1}^+(x)\Big|_{C_2=C_4=0}=\begin{pmatrix}\frac{4k^2C_6\tilde{C}_7-\tilde{C}_8}{2k[\tilde{C}_7e^{kx}+\tilde{C}_8e^{-kx}]}\\ 2k\frac{\tilde{C}_7\tilde{C}_8}{\tilde{C}_7e^{kx}+\tilde{C}_8e^{-kx}}\end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mathbf{C}=\begin{pmatrix}1 & 0\\ C_3 & -\alpha\end{pmatrix},\qquad \mathbf{C}^{-1}=\begin{pmatrix}1 & 0\\ \frac{C_3}{\alpha} & -\frac{1}{\alpha}\end{pmatrix},\qquad \det\mathbf{C}=-\alpha,\qquad \alpha\in\mathbb{C},\ \alpha\neq 0,$$

$$\tilde{C}_7=-\frac{1}{\alpha}C_7,\qquad \tilde{C}_8=-\frac{1}{\alpha}(C_8-C_3C_6),$$

where $\tilde{W}(x)$ is the Wronskian of $\tilde{\Phi}_1^-(x)$ and $\tilde{\Phi}_2^-(x)$. It is evident that the representations and the intertwining (77) transform trivially into the analogous formulae for the Hamiltonians $\mathbf{C}^{-1}H_+\mathbf{C}=H_+=-\partial^2$ and $\mathbf{C}^{-1}H_-\mathbf{C}$, for the matrix $\mathbf{C}^{-1}U_0(x)\mathbf{C}$ and for the operators $\mathbf{C}^{-1}Q_1^+\mathbf{C}$ and $\mathbf{C}^{-1}Q_1^-\mathbf{C}$, that $\tilde{\Psi}_{1,0}^+(x)$ and $\tilde{\Psi}_{1,1}^+(x)$ for $|C_2|+|C_4|\neq 0$ are vector-eigenfunction and associated vector-function of the first order (formal sometimes) respectively of the Hamiltonian $\mathbf{C}^{-1}H_-\mathbf{C}$ for the same eigenvalue $\lambda=-k^2$, that $\tilde{\Psi}_{1,1}^+(x)$ for $C_2=C_4=0$ is a vector-eigenfunction (formal sometimes) of the Hamiltonian

$\mathbf{C}^{-1}H_-\mathbf{C}$ for the same eigenvalue $\lambda = -k^2$ and that $\tilde{\Phi}_1^-(x)$ and $\tilde{\Phi}_2^-(x)$ are transformation vector-functions corresponding to conversion of the Hamiltonian $\mathbf{C}^{-1}H_+\mathbf{C} = H_+$ to the Hamiltonian $\mathbf{C}^{-1}H_-\mathbf{C}$ with the help of the intertwining operator $\mathbf{C}^{-1}Q_1^-\mathbf{C}$.

6 Conclusions

In conclusion we itemize some problems which could be solved in future papers.

(1) To work out methods of spectral design for matrix Hamiltonians with the help of matrix intertwining operators of arbitrary order and, in particular, to find a criterion for transformation vector-functions that provides a desired changes for the spectrum of the corresponding final matrix Hamiltonian with respect to the spectrum of an initial matrix Hamiltonian. It is possible to try for this purpose to generalize Index Theorem and Lemma 4 of [36, 45] to the matrix case.

(2) To investigate (in)dependence of matrix differential intertwining operators in the way analogous to one of [35] and, in particular, to define the notions of dependence and independence for these operators, to find a criterion of dependence for them and to solve the questions on maximal number of independent matrix differential intertwining operators and on a basis of such operators.

(3) By analogy with [35, 46] to investigate in the matrix case properties of a minimal matrix differential hidden symmetry operator.

(4) To investigate (ir)reducibility of matrix differential intertwining operators and, in particular, to classify irreducible and absolutely irreducible [23] matrix differential intertwining operators in the way analogous to one of [37–42, 47–54].

Acknowledgments

The author is grateful to A.A. Andrianov for critical reading of this paper and valuable comments and to M.V. Ioffe for drawing attention to some papers on matrix models with supersymmetry. This work was supported by RFBR Grant 13-01-00136-a. The author acknowledges Saint-Petersburg State University for a research grant 11.38.660.2013.

References

[1] A.A. Andrianov, M.V. Ioffe, *Pauli fermions as components of $D = 2$ supersymmetrical quantum mechanics*, Phys. Lett. B **205**:4 (1988) 507 – 510.

[2] R.D. Amado, F. Cannata, J.-P. Dedonder, *Coupled-channel supersymmetric quantum mechanics*, Phys. Rev. A **38**:7 (1988) 3797 – 3800.

[3] R.D. Amado, F. Cannata, J.-P. Dedonder, *Supersymmetric quantum mechanics, coupled channels, scattering relations*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **5**:17 (1990) 3401 – 3416.

[4] A.A. Andrianov, M.V. Ioffe, *From supersymmetric quantum mechanics to a parasupersymmetric one*, Phys. Lett. B **255**:4 (1991) 543 – 548.

[5] A.A. Andrianov, M.V. Ioffe, V.P. Spiridonov, L. Vinet, *Parasupersymmetry and truncated supersymmetry in quantum mechanics*, Phys. Lett. B **272**:3–4 (1991) 297 – 304.

[6] F. Cannata, M.V. Ioffe, *Solvable coupled channel problems from supersymmetric quantum mechanics*, Phys. Lett. B **278**:4 (1992) 399 – 402.

[7] F. Cannata, M.V. Ioffe, *Coupled-channel scattering and separation of coupled differential equations by generalized Darboux transformations*, J. Phys. A Math. Gen. **26**:3 (1993) L89 – L92.

[8] T. Fukui, *Shape-invariant potentials for systems with multi-component wave functions*, Phys. Lett. A **178**:1–2 (1993) 1 – 6.

[9] L.V. Hau, J.A. Golovchenko, M.M. Burns, *Supersymmetry and the Binding of a Magnetic Atom to a Filamentary Current*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**:7 (1995) 1426 – 1429.

[10] J.-M. Sparenberg, D. Baye, *Supersymmetry between Phase-Equivalent Coupled-Channel Potentials*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**:20 (1997) 3802 – 3805.

[11] R. de Lima Rodrigues, P.B. da Silva Filho, A.N. Vaidya, *SUSY QM and solitons from two coupled scalar fields in two dimensions*, Phys. Rev. D **58**:12 (1998) 125023, 6 pp.

[12] T.K. Das, B. Chakrabarti, *Application of supersymmetry to a coupled system of equations: the concept of a superpotential matrix*, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **32**:12 (1999) 2387 – 2394.

[13] V. M. Tkachuk, P. Roy, *Supersymmetry of a spin* 1/2 *particle on the real line*, Phys. Lett. A **263**:4–6 (1999) 245 – 249; arXiv:quant-ph/9905102.

[14] M.V. Ioffe, Ş. Kuru, J. Negro, L.M. Nieto, *SUSY approach to Pauli Hamiltonians with an axial symmetry*, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 39:22 (2006) 6987 – 7002; arXiv:hep-th/0603005.

[15] E. Ferraro, A. Messina, A.G. Nikitin, *Exactly solvable relativistic model with the anomalous interaction*, Phys. Rev. A **81**:4 (2010) 042108, 8 pp.; arXiv:0909.5543 [quant-ph].

[16] A.A. Andrianov, F. Cannata, D.N. Nishnianidze, M.V. Ioffe, *Matrix Hamiltonians: SUSY approach to hidden symmetries*, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **30**:14 (1997) 5037 – 5050; arXiv:quant-ph/9707004.

[17] V.M. Goncharenko, A.P. Veselov, *Monodromy of the matrix Schrödinger equations and Darboux transformations*, J. Phys A: Math. Gen. **31**:23 (1998) 5315 – 5326.

[18] B.F. Samsonov, A.A. Pecheritsin, *Chains of Darboux transformations for the matrix Schrödinger equation*, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **37**:1 (2004) 239 – 250; arXiv:quant-ph/0307145.

[19] A.A. Suzko, *Intertwining technique for the matrix Schrodinger equation*, Phys. Lett. A **335**:2–3 (2005) 88 – 102.

[20] A.A. Pecheritsin, A.M. Pupasov, B.F. Samsonov, *Singular matrix Darboux transformations in the inverse-scattering method*, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **44**:20 (2011) 205305, 15pp.; arXiv:1102.5255 [quant-ph].

[21] A.M. Pupasov-Maksimov, *Multichannel generalization of eigen-phase preserving supersymmetric transformations*, arXiv:1301.4199.

[22] T. Tanaka, *$\mathcal{N}$-fold Supersymmetry in Quantum Mechanical Matrix Models*, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **27**:9 (2012) 1250051; arXiv:1108.0480 [math-ph].

[23] A.V. Sokolov, *Polynomial supersymmetry for matrix Hamiltonians*, Phys. Lett. A **377**:9 (2013) 655 – 662; arXiv:1307.4449.

[24] A.G. Nikitin, Yu. Karadzhov, *Matrix superpotentials*, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **44**:30 (2011) 305204, 21 pp.; arXiv:1101.4129 [math-ph].

[25] A.G. Nikitin, Yu. Karadzhov, *Enhanced classification of matrix superpotentials*, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **44**:44 (2011) 445202, 24 pp.; arXiv:1107.2525.

[26] A.G. Nikitin, *Matrix superpotentials and superintegrable systems for arbitrary spin*, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **45**:22 (2012) 225205; arXiv:1201.4929.

[27] Yu. Karadzhov, *Matrix superpotential linear in variable parameter*, CNSNS **17**:4 (2012) 1522 – 1528; arXiv:1107.4596.

[28] Yu. Karadzhov, *Three-dimensional Matrix Superpotentials*, Ukrainian Mathematical Journal **64**:12 (2013) 1851 – 1864 (translated from Ukrainskyi Matematychnyi Zhurnal **64**:12 (2012) 1641 – 1653); arXiv:1109.0509.

[29] M.V. Ioffe, A.I. Neelov, *Pauli equation and the method of supersymmetric factorization*, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **36**:10 (2003) 2493 – 2506; arXiv:hep-th/0302004.

[30] F. Cannata, M.V. Ioffe, A.I. Neelov, D.N. Nishnianidze, *Higher order matrix SUSY transformations in two-dimensional quantum mechanics*, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **37**:43 (2004) 10339; arXiv:hep-th/0405108.

[31] A.A. Andrianov, M.V. Ioffe, *Nonlinear supersymmetric quantum mechanics: concepts and realizations*, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **45**:50 (2012) 503001; arXiv:1207.6799 [hep-th].

[32] D. Baye, J.-M. Sparenberg, A.M. Pupasov-Maksimov, B.F. Samsonov, *Single- and coupled-channel inverse scattering with supersymmetric transformations*, arXiv:1401.0439.

[33] I.M. Gel'fand, V.S. Retakh, *Determinants of matrices over noncommutative rings*, Funct. Anal. Appl. **25**:2 (1991) 91 – 102.

[34] A.B. Shabat, Z.S. Élkanova, A.B. Urusova, *Two-sided Darboux transformations*, Theor. Math. Phys. **173**:2 (2012) 1507 – 1517.

[35] A.A. Andrianov, A.V. Sokolov, *Nonlinear supersymmetry in quantum mechanics: algebraic properties and differential representation*, Nucl. Phys. B **660**:1–2 (2003) 25 – 50; arXiv:hep-th/0301062.

[36] A.A. Andrianov, F. Cannata, A.V. Sokolov, *Non-linear supersymmetry for non-Hermitian, non-diagonalizable Hamiltonians:* I. *General properties*, Nucl. Phys. B **773**:3 [PM] (2007) 107 – 136; arXiv:math-ph/0610024.

[37] A.A. Andrianov, F. Cannata, J.-P. Dedonder, M.V. Ioffe, *Second order derivative supersymmetry, q-deformations and the scattering problem*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **10**:18 (1995) 2683 – 2702; arXiv:hep-th/9404061.

[38] B.F. Samsonov, *New possibilities for supersymmetry breakdown in quantum mechanics and second-order irreducible Darboux transformations*, Phys. Lett. A **263**:4-6 (1999) 274 – 280; arXiv:quant-ph/9904009.

[39] A.A. Andrianov, F. Cannata, *Nonlinear Supersymmetry for Spectral Design in Quantum Mechanics*, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **37**:43 (2004) 10297 – 10323; arXiv:hep-th/0407077.

[40] A.A. Andrianov, A.V. Sokolov, *Factorization of nonlinear supersymmetry in one-dimensional quantum mechanics.* I: *General classification of reducibility and analysis*

of the third-order algebra, J. Math. Sci. **143**:1 (2007) 2707 – 2722 (translated from Zapiski Nauchnykh Seminarov POMI **335** (2006) 22 – 49); arXiv:0710.5738 [quant-ph].

[41] A.V. Sokolov, *Factorization of nonlinear supersymmetry in one-dimensional quantum mechanics.* II: *Proofs of theorems on reducibility*, J. Math. Sci. **151**:2 (2008) 2924 – 2936 (translated from Zapiski Nauchnykh Seminarov POMI **347** (2007) 214 – 237); arXiv:0903.2835 [math-ph].

[42] A.V. Sokolov, *Factorization of nonlinear supersymmetry in one-dimensional quantum mechanics.* III: *Precise classification of irreducible intertwining operators*, J. Math. Sci. **168**:6 (2010) 881 – 900 (translated from Zapiski Nauchnykh Seminarov POMI **374** (2010) 213 – 249).

[43] M.A. Naimark, *Linear Differential Operators*, Frederick Ungar Publishing Co., New York (1967).

[44] A.V. Sokolov, *Linear and Non-linear Supersymmetry for Non-Hermitian Matrix Hamiltonians*, Report at the Workshop "PHHQP XI: Non-Hermitian Operators in Quantum Physics", August 27 – 31, 2012, APC, Paris, France, http://phhqp11.in2p3.fr/Monday_27_files/SokolovSL12.pdf.

[45] A.V. Sokolov, *Non-linear supersymmetry for non-Hermitian, non-diagonalizable Hamiltonians:* II. *Rigorous results*, Nucl. Phys. B **773**:3 [PM] (2007) 137–171; arXiv:math-ph/0610022.

[46] A.A. Andrianov, A.V. Sokolov, *Hidden Symmetry from Supersymmetry in one-dimensional Quantum Mechanics*, SIGMA **5** (2009), 064, 26 pp.; arXiv:0906.0549 [hep-th].

[47] L.Trlifaj, *The Darboux and Abraham – Moses transformations of the one-dimensional periodic Schrödinger equation and inverse problems*, Inv. Prob. *5*:6 (1989) 1145 – 156.

[48] G. Dunne, J. Feinberg, *Self-isospectral periodic potentials and supersymmetric quantum mechanics*, Phys. Rev. D **57**:2 (1998) 1271 – 1276; arXiv:hep-th/9706012.

[49] D.J. Fernández C., J. Negro, L.M. Nieto, *Second-order supersymmetric periodic potentials*, Phys. Lett. A **275**:56 (2000) 338 – 349.

[50] A. Khare, U. Sukhatme, *New solvable and quasiexactly solvable periodic potentials*, J. Math. Phys. **40**:11 (1999) 5473 – 5494; arXiv:quant-ph/9906044.

[51] D.J. Fernández C., R. Munoz, A. Ramos, *Second order SUSY transformations with 'complex energies'*, Phys. Lett. A **308**:1 (2003) 11 – 16; arXiv:quant-ph/0212026.

[52] D.J. Fernández, B. Mielnik, O. Rosas-Ortiz, B.F. Samsonov, *Nonlocal supersymmetric deformations of periodic potentials*, J. Phys. A 35:19 (2002), 4279 – 4292; arXiv:quant-ph/0303051.

[53] D.J. Fernández C., E. Salinas-Hernández, *The confluent algorithm in second-order supersymmetric quantum mechanics*, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **36**:10 (2003) 2537 – 2544; arXiv:quant-ph/0303123.

[54] B.F. Samsonov, *Irreducible second order SUSY transformations between real and complex potentials*, Phys. Lett. A **358**:2 (2006) 105 – 114; arXiv:quantph/0602101.